

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_113095
Title of the Manuscript:	GIS interpolation and mapping of soil physicochemical properties in deep medium black soil of an established citrus orchard
Type of the Article	Research

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>Yes. Authors should start the abstract from "The present study was conducted for..."</p> <p>Yes Hope so.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No.1. Abstract should start from the sentence "The present study was conducted for the assessment of the spatial distribution of physicochemical..." No.2. <b>In Results and discussion 5<sup>th</sup> line</b> Organic carbon (SOC) "should be written as Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) or Organic carbon (OC) No.3. Please rewrite the conclusion part. No.4. A bit more time should be given to the reference part. No.5. Please provide a colour figures (Figure 3, 4, and 5) No.6. Please recheck tables 2, 3 and 4</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Can be improved more.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>So many grammatical mistakes exist in the manuscript.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chadetri Routh
Department, University & Country	Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), India