

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_112481
Title of the Manuscript:	Cultivating Tomorrow: Harnessing Gene Editing and Silencing for Precision Horticulture
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is highly important to the scientific community. It reviews the Advanced technologies of RNAi and gene editing for horticultural crops improvement. Such comparative review will give directions for efficient and robust breeding of horticultural crops using these technologies. Hence, this review is quite interesting.</p> <p>However, there are major deficiencies in this manuscript. The abstract is comprehensive but sections must be rewritten by the authors as suggested in comments provided in the reviewed manuscript. All sections and sub-sections of the manuscript are in order but must be revised in response to the comments provided in each section or sub-section.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct but it requires major revisions in all its sections as suggested in the reviewed manuscript. Authors are suggested to go through the comments and re-write and revise the whole manuscript in response to each comment. References are not sufficient however, fulfil the fundamental requirements for the manuscript at this stage. However, the citations and references must be revised thoroughly according to the journal's format. Authors may please use the template of the journal while revising this review article to avoid any deficiencies and formatting errors again.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, but the language used in this manuscript requires minor revision to the correct grammatical and formal English including rewriting the scientific names and terms wherever suggested.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The authors are suggested to follow authors guidelines provided for this journal and rewrite the sections in response to the review comments provided in the reviewed article with track changes.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Zubair Khan
Department, University & Country	University of Poonch Rawalakot, Pakistan