

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_112442
Title of the Manuscript:	Mean Performance of Various Quantitative Characters in Bottle Gourd (<i>Lagenaria Siceraria</i> (Molina) Standl.) Genotypes Under Temperate Conditions of Kashmir
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community, bottle gourd being a species with multiple uses (food, pharmaceutical industry, decorations, etc.) requires a better knowledge of its quantitative characteristics.</p> <p>I suggest the following title: Evaluation of some genotypes of bottle gourd (<i>Lagenaria Siceraria</i> (Molina) Standl.) regarding the performance of various quantitative characters in the temperate conditions of Kashmir.</p> <p>The abstract is concise, does not exceed 250 words.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct it respects all sections starting from the abstract to the references.</p> <p>Y the references are sufficient.. they can be found in the text of the manuscript.</p> <p>I mention the comments: - at the end of the introduction, the purpose of the conducted research must be specified. -in the material and method, the method of statistical calculation of the obtained data must be specified. - for the results and discussions, in addition to the tables, some graphs are needed to express the descriptors performed. - at the conclusions the phrase ..In the present study to evaluate the germplasm collection the mean of seventy-seven genotypes with two checks for 18 characters is presented in Table-1, 2 and 3 must be eliminated.. it is part of the results section. It is necessary a more concise approach to the conclusions..which highlights the purpose of the study for improvement practices.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> it does not exists	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Placinta Domnica Daniela
Department, University & Country	Romania