

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_113005
Title of the Manuscript:	Associations of Chronic Kidney Disease in a Cohort of Stable First-Degree Relatives of Chronic Kidney Disease Patients at a Tertiary Health Facility in South Eastern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. Its important for increasing awareness in community regarding increasing prevalence of CKD in asymptomatic patients.</p> <p>2. Title is not suitable. Instead of associations, prevalence would be a better word</p> <p>3. Abstract is not showing all the information regarding the study. It needs to be improved</p> <p>4. No</p> <p>5. Not exactly. Few sections need to be revised</p> <p>6. Yes. References are sufficient but few latest references should be included.</p> <p>- Sentencing is not appropriate in certain areas. I did highlight</p> <p>- Protocol for assessment in FDRs is not appropriate</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chetna</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kailash Hospital and Heart Institute, India</b>