

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_113134
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints for low groundnut productivity and adaption strategies to overcome constraints in Anantapur district of Andhra Pradesh.
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Some how it's important to understand the constraints of low productivity of groundnut.</p> <p>Enhancing Groundnut Productivity in Anantapur District, Andhra Pradesh: Identifying Constraints and Developing Adaptation Strategies.</p> <p>Yes Abstract is good enough</p> <p>Not fully, there are scope of improvement .</p> <p>Somehow it's correct</p> <p>References are sufficient enough as required in any manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, however improvement is needed</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Article should be improved by adding more insight of limitations of groundnut productivity in Andhra Pradesh as whole. It can substance by government policies toward groundnut cultivation and its improvement of productivity. Similarly method of Sampling is mentioned as purposive in Sample selection for primary survey is also avoidable in this type of study. Instead researcher can use random sampling process. Suggestion section should be more precise and vivid.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anayatullah Nayaji</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ch.B. P Govt Engineering College, India</b>