

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_92160
Title of the Manuscript:	Teachers' Perception of Visual aids in Students Learningc
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1.The author needs to summarize the abstract and leave only vital information. 2.The concept of perception of visual aids should come out clearly in the problem and through out the manuscript. The author somewhere gets into the use and types of visual aids, giving them much importance than the perception. This is so especially in the literature and following sections. 3. The author oughts to use updated literature review not more than 10years ago. 4. Perception is something that cannot be analysed as the author says in the methodology.It can only be assessed and examined,which should come out clearly in the methodology and results. Also,the number of respondents should be stated right from the abstract.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. The work should be well organised,with all grammar corrected. This should be so from the Topic. Other grammatical eors, for example include section 2.3, there are ni one sentence paragraphs. 2. The objectives should be well organized. One cannot find out perception of visual aids without first understanding them.	
Optional/General comments	Consider rephrasing the topic and manuscript to include the use of visual aids.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kakooza Victoria
Department, University & Country	Kyambogo University, Uganda