

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_113052
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship of intraocular pressure with environmental factors.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, however it needs many modifications and arrangements. It needs to be more clear and systematic manner. Many points need to be clarified.</p> <p>Yes, it is OK.</p> <p>Yes, but needs more data from manuscript.</p> <p>No, the results and discussion need to be reconstructed.</p> <p>Yes, I think.</p> <p>Yes, but it needs additional references.</p> <p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>Thank you for invitation to review this manuscript in this esteemed journal. The research is a good work, but the following questions should be answered:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The environmental factors should be mentioned clearly. 2. Special comments on how these environmental factors are measured. 3. The study design is questionable? Is it cross sectional or prospective? 4. Definition of air quality index should be provided and how it is measured. 5. What is the abbreviation of OGIMET database? 6. Why is Spearman correlation coefficient used other than Pearson correlation? 7. Results section: correlation between the refractive errors and IOP should be mentioned clearly in results and conclusion. <p>Abbreviations in tables should be provided, with referring in results of the significant values of IOP, which should be identified clearly.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. Discussion should be reconstructed with more references and studies and in more coherent way. 9. Follow up of IOP in long duration and diurnal variation of IOP should be taken into consideration. 10. The manuscript needs English editing, as there are many grammar, spelling and punctuation mistakes. 	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	11. No, the manuscript needs English editing.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ghada Azab Nassar
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt