

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_113834</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ON PROJECTION PROPERTIES OF MONOTONE INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The research explores the projection properties of monotone integrable functions in an (i-1, i)-dimensional structure using vector measure integrability. It applies fixed point projection properties of a vector measure to determine the measurability of sets in integrable functions' domain. The study showcases the utility of vector measure duality, continuity from below, and monotonicity in integrating functions. Definitions of p-integrable functions, vector measures, norm of p-integrable functions, and projection product measure are provided. The conclusions emphasize the significance of these concepts in integrating functions in <math>L_p</math> spaces for <math>0 &lt; p &lt; \infty</math>.</p> <p>2. suitable.</p> <p>3. comprehensive.</p> <p>4. appropriate.</p> <p>5. There should be no problem in the proof of scientific theories, but there are flaws in logical thinking.</p> <p>6. Should you add more literature from other countries, most of your literature is Chinese</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>7. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>In this article, although there are no major problems, we still hope that you will pay attention to the grammatical details of English in the future</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>none</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zhan Hao</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Xi'an Information Vocational University, China</b>