

## Ultrafilter in digraph: Directed Tangle and Directed Ultrafilter

### Abstract:

Tangle is a concept in graph theory that has a dual relationship with tree-width which is well-known graph width parameter. Ultrafilter is a fundamental notion in mathematics. In this concise paper, we will reconsider the relationship between Tangle and Ultrafilter in digraph.

**Keyword:** Tangle, Directed Tangle, Ultrafilter, Directed Ultrafilter, Directed tree-decomposition, Directed linear-branch-decomposition

### 1. Introduction

Tangles, fundamental constructs in graph theory, are intricately linked with tree-width, a widely recognized metric for gauging the complexity of a graph. This connection has catalyzed significant scholarly interest, leading to a rich body of research as evidenced by references [2,6-15,38,40-46]. Similarly, ultrafilters have found utility across a diverse range of engineering disciplines, attracting attention from a broad spectrum of researchers, as indicated by references [2, 3, 4, 16-25,31-33,36,37,39]. This widespread engagement underscores the perceived value of ultrafilter studies.

In this paper, we aim to reexamine the relationship between Tangles and Ultrafilters within the context of directed graphs.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present the fundamental definitions necessary for this paper.

We consider a directed graph, often referred to as a digraph and denoted as  $G$ , in our context. We define an edge  $e$  as crossing from subgraph  $A$  to subgraph  $B$  if its tail resides in  $V(A)$  but not in  $V(B)$ , and its head is situated in  $V(B)$  but not in  $V(A)$ .

A directed separation of a digraph  $G$  is represented as a pair  $(A, B)$  of subgraphs of  $G$ , satisfying the condition that their combined vertex set is  $V(A) \cup V(B) = V(G)$ . This separation can be characterized by the absence of cross edges, either from  $A$  to  $B$  or from  $B$  to  $A$ . The order of this separation is determined by the size of  $V(A \cap B)$ .

Throughout this paper, we will use the variable  $k$  to represent a natural number.

#### 2.1 Directed Tangle

The paper [1] provided "a proof of the Directed Tangle Tree-Decomposition Theorem".

Furthermore, the paper [1] introduced "a method for constructing a directed tree-decomposition for any integer  $k$ , specifically designed to effectively distinguish all directed tangles with an order of  $k$ ". And note that in recent times, there has been a growing interest in the graph width parameter in directed graphs [26-30].

Below, you will find the definition of a Directed Tangle.

**Definition 1 [1]:** Let  $G$  be a digraph. A set  $T$  of directed separations of order less than  $k$  in a digraph  $G$  is called a tangle of order  $k$  if:

(DT1) For every directed separation  $(A, B)$  of  $G$  of order less than  $k$ ,  $T$  contains either  $(A, B)$  or  $(B, A)$ .

(DT2) If  $(A_1, B_1), (A_2, B_2), (A_3, B_3) \in T$ , then  $V(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3) \neq V(G)$ .

#### 2.2 Directed Ultrafilter

First, we provide an explanation of Filters in Boolean Algebras. The definition of a filter in a Boolean algebra  $(X, \cup, \cap)$  is given below.

**Definition 2:** In a Boolean algebra  $(X, \cup, \cap)$ , a set family  $F \subseteq 2^X$  satisfying the following conditions is called a filter on the carrier set  $X$ .

(FB1)  $A, B \in F \Rightarrow A \cap B \in F$ ,

(FB2)  $A \in F, A \subseteq B \subseteq X \Rightarrow B \in F$ ,

(FB3)  $\emptyset$  is not belong to  $F$ .

In a Boolean algebras  $(X, \cup, \cap)$ , A maximal filter is called an ultrafilter and satisfies the following axiom (FB4):

(FB4)  $\forall A \subseteq X$ , either  $A \in F$  or  $X/A \in F$ .

In the context of directed graphs, we provide a definition for ultrafilters. The term "Directed Ultrafilter" is an extension of the definition of an Ultrafilter on a Boolean algebra to a directed graph. It's important to note that a Directed Ultrafilter is synonymous with a co-maximal Directed Ideal.

**Definition 3:** Let  $G$  be a digraph. A set  $F$  of directed separations of order less than  $k$  in a digraph  $G$  is called a Directed Ultrafilter of order  $k$  if:

(F1) For every directed separation  $(A, B)$  of  $G$  of order less than  $k$ , either  $(A, B)$  or  $(B, A)$  is an element of  $F$ .

(F2) If  $(A_1, B_1) \in F$ ,  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$ , and  $(A_2, B_2)$  of  $G$  of order less than  $k$ , then  $(A_2, B_2) \in F$ .

(F3) If  $(A_1, B_1) \in F$ ,  $(A_2, B_2) \in F$ , and  $(A_1 \cap A_2, B_1 \cup B_2)$  of order less than  $k$ , then  $(A_1 \cap A_2, B_1 \cup B_2) \in F$ .

(F4) For any directed separation  $(A, B)$  such that  $V(A) = V(G)$ , we have  $(A, B) \in F$ .

### 3. Cryptomorphism between Directed Tangle and Directed Ultrafilter

In this section, we demonstrate the cryptomorphism between Directed Tangle and Directed Ultrafilter. From this theorem, it becomes evident that the Directed Ultrafilter has a profound relationship with Directed tree-width.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $G$  be a digraph.  $T$  is a Directed Tangle of order  $k$  in a digraph  $G$  iff  $F = \{(A, B) \mid (B, A) \in T\}$  is a Directed Ultrafilter of order  $k$  in a digraph  $G$ .

#### Proof of Theorem 1:

To establish Theorem 1, we need to demonstrate that if  $T$  is a Directed Tangle of order  $k$ , then  $F$  is a Directed Ultrafilter of the same order, and conversely. We will rely on the definitions and conditions outlined in the preliminary section to construct this proof. Let us denote directed separations as  $(A, B)$ , and define  $F$  as  $F = \{(A, B) \mid (B, A) \in T\}$ .

Conditions (F1) and (F4) are evidently satisfied.

To establish that  $F$  complies with (F2), we begin with an element  $(A_1, B_1)$  in  $F$ , indicating that  $(B_1, A_1)$  is in  $T$ . Now, let  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$  and contemplate a separation  $(A_2, B_2)$  of order less than  $k$ . According to the definition of tangles, specifically axiom (DT1),  $T$  either contains  $(A_2, B_2)$  or  $(B_2, A_2)$ . If  $T$  contains  $(A_2, B_2)$ , it contradicts the assumption that  $(B_1, A_1)$  is in  $T$ , as this would violate (DT2). Therefore,  $T$  must contain  $(B_2, A_2)$ , which implies that  $(A_2, B_2)$  is in  $F$ , thus satisfying condition (F2) for ultrafilters.

To ensure that  $F$  fulfills (F3), consider  $(A_1, B_1)$  and  $(A_2, B_2)$  in  $F$ , implying that  $(B_1, A_1)$  and  $(B_2, A_2)$  are in  $T$ . Now, contemplate a new separation  $(A_1 \cap A_2, B_1 \cup B_2)$  of order less than  $k$ . Following a similar line of reasoning as for (F2), we conclude that this separation meets the conditions to be in  $F$ , thereby confirming that  $F$  satisfies condition (F3).

The reverse proof follows a similar approach in the opposite direction and is omitted for brevity. In conclusion, we can establish that  $T$  is a Directed Tangle of order  $k$  if and only if  $F = \{(A, B) \mid (B, A) \in T\}$  is a Directed Ultrafilter of order  $k$ , successfully substantiating Theorem 1. This proof is completed.

### 4. Future tasks: Directed linear-branch-decomposition

In this paper, we have explored the cryptomorphism between Directed Tangles and Directed Ultrafilters.

The reference [27] defines the directed branch-decomposition. Inspired by this, we propose the concept of a "Directed linear-branch-decomposition" and aim to explore its characteristics. For the notation and definitions used in the subsequent description, please refer to reference [27].

**Definition 4.** For any digraph  $D$ , let  $f_D$  be the function  $f_D : 2^{E(D)} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  defined as  $f_D(X) = |S_X^V \cup S_{E(D) \setminus X}^V|$ . A layout of  $f(D)$  on  $E(D)$  is called a directed branch decomposition of  $D$ . A tree is a caterpillar if its non-leaf vertices form a single path. The directed linear-branch-decomposition is a specialized form of directed branch decomposition where the underlying tree  $T$  is constrained to be a caterpillar. The directed linear branch width of  $D$  is defined as the width of the layout of  $f(D)$  on  $E(D)$ , with the restriction that the decomposition tree is a caterpillar.

## Conclusion

We intend to further investigate the properties of the aforementioned directed linear branch width. Moreover, we hypothesize that a concept, potentially the directed linear tangle, may exhibit a duality with the directed linear branch width. Our research in this area will be ongoing.

Furthermore, a range of graph width parameters has been established, as indicated in references [32,34,35]. We aim to explore the properties that manifest when these parameters are extended to directed graphs.

## Disclaimer

This paper is an extended version of a preprint document of the same author.

The preprint document is available in this link:

[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374112335\\_Ultrafilter\\_in\\_digraph\\_Directed\\_Tangle\\_and\\_Directed\\_Ultrafilter](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374112335_Ultrafilter_in_digraph_Directed_Tangle_and_Directed_Ultrafilter)

[As per journal policy, preprint article can be published as a journal article, provided it is not published in any other journal]

## Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who have supported me in completing this paper.

## Reference

- [1] Giannopoulou, Archontia C., et al. "Directed Tangle Tree-Decompositions and Applications\*." *Proceedings of the 2022 Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2022.
- [2] Reinhard Diestel and Christian Elbracht and Raphael W. Jacobs. Point sets and functions inducing tangles of set separations. 2023.
- [3] Comfort, William Wistar, and Stylianos Negreponis. The theory of ultrafilters. Vol. 211. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [4] Booth, David. "Ultrafilters on a countable set." *Annals of Mathematical Logic* 2.1 (1970): 1-24.
- [5] Kunen, Kenneth. "Ultrafilters and independent sets." *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 172 (1972): 299-306.
- [6] Fujita, Takaaki. "Reconsideration of tangle and ultrafilter using separation and partition." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.04306* (2023).
- [7] Diestel, Reinhard. "Ends and tangles." *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*. Vol. 87. No. 2. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2017.
- [8] Grohe, Martin. "Tangled up in blue (a survey on connectivity, decompositions, and tangles)." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.06704* (2016).
- [9] Fujita, Takaaki. "Filter for Submodular Partition Function: Connection to Loose Tangle." (2023).
- [10] Fujita, Takaaki. "Exploring two concepts: branch decomposition and weak ultrafilter on connectivity system." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.14147* (2023).
- [11] Diestel, Reinhard, and Sang-il Oum. "Tangle-tree duality in abstract separation systems." *Advances in Mathematics* 377 (2021): 107470.
- [12] Fujita, Takaaki. "Alternative Proof of Linear Tangle and Linear Obstacle: An Equivalence Result." *Asian Research Journal of Mathematics* 19.8 (2023): 61-66.
- [13] Robertson, Neil, and Paul D. Seymour. "Graph minors. X. Obstructions to

- tree-decomposition." *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 52.2 (1991): 153-190.
- [14] Reed, Bruce A. "Tree width and tangles: A new connectivity measure and some applications." *Surveys in combinatorics* (1997): 87-162.
- [15] Oum, Sang-il, and Paul Seymour. "Testing branch-width." *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 97.3 (2007): 385-393.
- [16] Jech, Thomas. "Set theory." *Journal of Symbolic Logic* (1981): 876-77.
- [17] Zelenyuk, Yevhen G. *Ultrafilters and topologies on groups*. Vol. 50. Walter de Gruyter, 2011.
- [18] Hrbacek, Karel, and Thomas Jech. *Introduction to set theory, revised and expanded*. Crc Press, 1999.
- [19] Bell, John L. *Set theory: Boolean-valued models and independence proofs*. Vol. 47. OUP Oxford, 2011.
- [20] Samuel, Pierre. "Ultrafilters and compactification of uniform spaces." *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 64.1 (1948): 100-132.
- [21] Kunen, Kenneth. "Some applications of iterated ultrapowers in set theory." *Annals of Mathematical Logic* 1.2 (1970): 179-227.
- [22] Kochen, Simon. "Ultraproducts in the theory of models." *Annals of mathematics* (1961): 221-261.
- [23] Cummings, James. "Iterated forcing and elementary embeddings." *Handbook of set theory* (2010): 775-883.
- [24] Heinrich, Stefan. "Ultraproducts in Banach space theory." (1980): 72-104.
- [25] Keisler, H. Jerome. "On cardinalities of ultraproducts." (1964): 644-647.
- [26] Safari, Mohammad Ali. "D-width: A more natural measure for directed tree width." *Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science 2005: 30th International Symposium, MFCS 2005, Gdansk, Poland, August 29–September 2, 2005. Proceedings* 30. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2005.
- [27] Bumpus, Benjamin Merlin, Kitty Meeks, and William Pettersson. "Directed branch-width: A directed analogue of tree-width." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.08903* (2020).
- [28] Kintali, Shiva, Nishad Kothari, and Akash Kumar. "Approximation algorithms for directed width parameters." *Preprint* (2011).
- [29] Gurski, Frank, et al. "Directed width parameters on semicomplete digraphs." *Combinatorial Optimization and Applications: 15th International Conference, COCOA 2021, Tianjin, China, December 17–19, 2021, Proceedings* 15. Springer International Publishing, 2021.
- [30] Bumpus, Benjamin Merlin. *Generalizing graph decompositions*. Diss. University of Glasgow, 2021.
- [31] Fujita, Takaaki. "Matroid, Ideal, Ultrafilter, Tangle, and so on: Reconsideration of Obstruction to linear-branch-decomposition." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.09199* (2023).
- [32] Jaffke, Lars, O-joung Kwon, and Jan Arne Telle. "Mim-width I. Induced path problems." *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 278 (2020): 153-168.
- [33] Takaaki Fujita. *Ultrafilter in Graph Theory: Relationship to Tree-decomposition*. 2023.
- [34] Amarilli, Antoine, Mikaël Monet, and Pierre Senellart. "Connecting width and structure in knowledge compilation (Extended version)." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.06188* (2017).
- [35] Bonnet, Édouard, et al. "Twin-width II: small classes." *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2021.
- [36] Fujita, Takaaki. "Edge-UltraFilter and Edge-Tangle for graph."
- [37] Fujita, Takaaki. "Ultrafilter in Graph Theory: Relationship to Tree-decomposition."
- [38] Fujita, Takaaki. "Short Note: Obstruction to Rank-width."
- [39] Fujita, Takaaki. "Matroid's Ultrafilter".
- [40] Alecu, Bogdan, et al. "The treewidth and pathwidth of graph unions." *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* 38.1 (2024): 261-276.
- [41] Eppstein, David. "Games on Game Graphs." *2024 Joint Mathematics Meetings (JMM 2024)*. AMS, 2024.
- [42] Lunel, Corentin, and Arnaud de Mesmay. "A structural approach to tree decompositions of knots and spatial graphs." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.07982* (2023).
- [43] Elm, Ann-Kathrin, and Hendrik Heine. "Limit-closed profiles." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.06954* (2023).
- [44] Seymour, Paul D., and Robin Thomas. "Call routing and the ratcatcher." *Combinatorica* 14

(1994): 217-241.

[45] Diestel, Reinhard. "Graph minors I: A short proof of the path-width theorem." *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* 4.1 (1995): 27-30.

[46] Archdeacon, Dan. "Topological graph theory." *A survey. Congressus Numerantium* 115.5-54 (1996): 18.

UNDER PEER REVIEW