

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_113917
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Pink Pigmented Facultative Methylophils' (PPFMs) Consortium formulation and its efficacy on Chilli (Capsicum annum)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Bacteria belonging to the genus <i>Methylobacterium</i>, popularly known as pink pigmented facultative methylophilic (PPFM) bacteria. PPFMs have profound influence on soil fertility, crop growth and yield. The ability for phosphate acquisition, nitrogen fixation, iron chelation and phytohormone production indicate the possibility of developing them as promising biofertilizer. They can therefore be promising alternatives to conventional chemical inputs in sustainable agricultural systems.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is seems good.</p> <p>3. No, the abstract of this article is not comprehensive one. The abstract should state briefly the purpose of the research, the experimental design, the principal results and major conclusions.</p> <p>4. No, the subsections and structure of the manuscript is not appropriate and there is great need to re-arrange it. I have also made many modification, deletion, addition and rearrangements in this regards in the reviewed manuscript.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically.</p> <p>6. Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>There are many abbreviations are used in this article which are not defined in text. Please add a list of abbreviations before references.</p> <p>For specific comments please read reviewed manuscript very carefully, Thanks</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the English language quality is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bilqees Fatima
Department, University & Country	Minhaj University Lahore, Pakistan