

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_113361
Title of the Manuscript:	Mean performance of tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.) genotypes for yield, yield parameters and quality traits
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1) The study carried out is scientifically important for future breeding studies.</p> <p>2) The title is compatible with the article</p> <p>3) The summary of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>4) suitable in general terms</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	writing language appropriate	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The introduction part of the article is quite weak. The paragraph ends up meaningless. The purpose of the study should be stated more clearly in the introduction. Why was this study conducted? In the material and method section, it is not written on what date the plants were sown and planted? Isn't there enough discussion after the findings? This reduced the value of the article The work can be published after the necessary edits.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Necibe Kaya
Department, University & Country	Sakarya University of Applied Sciences, Turkey