

Original Research Article

Quality of life in Caregivers of Children with Cerebral Palsy in Rivers State, Nigeria

Abstract

Introduction: Cerebral palsy (CP) is a common neurologic disorder which leads to physical disability in children. Children with CP require more care and attention from their care givers. Hence the quality of life (QoL) of the care givers can be adversely affected.

Aim: The aim of this study is to assess the QoL and its determinants among caregivers of children with CP in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Material& Method: Using a convenience sampling method, a hospital based cross-sectional descriptive study was carried out, participants were 96 caregivers of children on follow up for CP. The English Version of the World Health Organization Quality of Life-Bref (WHOQOL-Bref) was used to evaluate the QoL of the participants. A structured questionnaire was used to obtain socio-demographic characteristic of the participants and children with CP, while the patients hospital record was used to obtain the medical history of the patients. The functional disability level of the child was measured by the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS levels I-V) scale. Multiple regression analysis was carried out to determine the predictors of the care givers QoL on all four domains of the WHOQOL-Bref.

Result: The age of the of the participant ranged from 23 -58 years with a mean age of 37.67 ± 8.27 years. Seventy-eight (81.3%) were females, 80% had secondary education and above. Fifty-six (58.3%) of the CP patients had co-morbidity. The care givers had lower level of QoL < 60 points in all domains except for Physical Health Domain which had mean point of 61.3.

The GMFCS level of the patients with CP and the marital status of the caregivers significantly determined the different domains of the caregivers QoL ($p \leq 0.05$). Age of care giver ($p 0.00$), presence of comorbidity (0.03) significantly predicted the psychological domain of the QoL of the care givers. In addition, the socioeconomic class significantly predicted the environment and psychological domains of the caregivers QoL. ($p < 0.05$)

Conclusion: The quality of life in caregivers of children with cerebral palsy is low. The predictors include GMFCS level of the patient, age of the care giver, marital status, presence of comorbidity and socioeconomic status.

Keywords: Quality of life, caregiver, Cerebral Palsy, Port Harcourt

Introduction.

According to the World Health Organization, Quality of life (QoL) is defined “as the individual’s perception of their own position in specific cultural, social and environmental context, as well as in relation to personal goals, expectations, standards and interests.”^[1] Physical and mental well-being, as well as an individual's degree of autonomy and independence, social context, personal convictions, and interactions with the environment, all have an impact. Finding the variables influencing quality of life (QoL) can improve care, treatment, and recovery initiatives.^[1]

Cerebral palsy (CP) describes a group of permanent disorders of movement and posture causing activity limitation that are attributed to nonprogressive disturbances that occur in the developing fetal or infant brain.² CP is the most common motor disability of childhood accounting for between 15% to 50% of neurological clinic presentations seen in developing countries.^{3,4} The motor disorders of cerebral palsy are often accompanied by disturbances of sensation, perception, cognition, communication and behaviours, by epilepsy, and by secondary musculoskeletal problems which culminate in increased morbidity, increased hospital visits for follow up and rehabilitative care.²

The demands associated with caring for a child with CP can impact on the physical and mental health status on both the child and care giver especially as the child grows older.^{2,5} The effect of these on the family result in losses in socio-economic, cultural and professional opportunities and create a vicious cycle which can worsen the overall wellbeing of the child living with CP.⁶

Studies have shown that the more time spent on child care, the higher the risk of burn out and mental illness among caregivers, particularly depression and anxiety especially among parents of

children with CP of low degree of functionality and high level of child dependence.⁷ As part of the holistic approach to the management of children with chronic medical conditions such as CP, inclusion of measures to improve quality of life of care givers is now advocated including adequate social support for psychological wellbeing,⁸ however the adoption and degree of implementation of these measures in health care delivery in developing countries is yet to be fully established.

Given the challenges encountered in caring for children with cerebral palsy in a resource limited environment and the potential impact on the quality-of-life thereof, this study was conducted to assess the quality of life (QoL) and its determinants among caregivers of children with CP in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Materials and methods

This study was carried out in the Paediatric Neurology clinic in the Paediatric department, University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital. The Paediatric Neurology Clinic runs every Friday except on public holidays. All children with neurologic conditions who do not have acute conditions are referred to the clinic where they are seen and are followed up. The clinic serves the neighboring communities around the hospital and is also a referral hospital for public and private hospitals within and outside the state.

Study design: The study is a cross sectional analytical study.

Study participants: Participants include 96 caregivers of children on follow up for cerebral palsy in the Paediatric neurology clinic of UPTH. Consent was obtained from all participants before the study. Caregivers who had any form of chronic medical conditions were excluded

from study. The caregiver must have cared and lived with the patient for at least one year and is the primary caregiver to be included in the study.

A purposive sampling method was used, participants who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were enrolled consecutively from October 2022 to October 2023. A self-administered structured questionnaire was used to obtain socio-demographic characteristics of participants while the medical history of the patient was obtained from their medical records. The information obtained includes age, sex of both the care givers and that of the patients, presence of co morbidity in the patient. The Gross Motor Function classification system was used to assess the patient's functional capability. It was classified into levels 1- 5, a lower score indicates that the patient has a greater level of functional capability.^[9]

The English version of WHO QOL-BREF was adopted for the study. The WHOQOL-Bref is 26 items, the initial first two questions are concerned with the general well-being and quality of life, whereas the other 24 are specific to each domain. These specific domains are 4 in number physical health, psychological, social relationships and Environment.^[1]

The questions were graded on a 5- points Likert scales which were transformed to a 0-100 scale based on the domains specified according to the scoring guide. A WHOQOL- Bref cut-off score of 60 points was adopted for this study. This score was used in a previous study.^[10] The score of 60 and above in any domain indicates a higher quality of life.

Socioeconomic class of patient

The socioeconomic class of the patient was determined by using the socioeconomic classification scheme as recommended by Ibadin et al^[11], the level of education and occupation of parents were

used to group the patients into six socioeconomic classes 1-6 which was further grouped into upper, middle and lower social class.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Data Analysis

Data was entered into an Excel spreadsheet and later transferred into Statistical package for Social Sciences (IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, VERSION 25.0. Armonk, NY, IBM Corp.) for analysis. Descriptive statistics were used, quantitative variables were represented in frequency tables using simple proportions and percentages, and continuous data were presented as mean and standard deviation. Regression analysis was carried out to determine the factors that predicted the QoL of participants at the four different domains and a p-value of 0.05 or less was considered significant.

Result

Table 1 shows the socio-demographic characteristics of the care-givers, their ages ranged from 23-58 years with a mean age of 37.67 ± 8.27 year. Seventy-Eight (81.3%) of them were females, 67(69.8%) of the caregivers were married, 81(84.3%) were parents of the patients, and 69(71.9%) were employed.

Table II: shows socio demographic characteristics of children whose caregivers were part of the study. Their age ranged from 1 year to 13 years with mean age of 6.87 ± 4.22 years. Fifty-two (54.2%) were males, 20 of them (20.8%) belonged to the upper social class and 38(39.6%) of them had functional ability at level I using the GMFCS while 56(58.3%) had other co-morbidities.

Table III shows the mean quality life score for the participants. The mean quality of life score was found to be below 60 points in all the domains of the WHOQOL-BREF except for the physical health domain. This indicates that the study participants had a very low level of quality

of life. The WHOQOL-Bref scores shows that the physical health domain (61.3%) and environmental domain (51.8) had the highest and lowest mean scores respectively.

Table IV Show the predictors of participants quality of life. All the quality-of-life domains had significant statistical variance except for the physical health Domain; psychological $R^2= 0.34$ ($p 0.000$); Social relationships $R^2=0.36$ ($p 0.00$), Environmental domain $R^2=0.25$ ($p=0.000$)

On evaluation of each domain, it revealed that only GMFCS of the patient ($\beta=0.42$, $p 0.00$) Significantly predicted the quality of life at the physical domain The psychological domain was significantly predicted by the age of the caregiver ($\beta=0.58$, $p 0.04$) marital status of the participants ($\beta 0.54$, $p 0.01$), the presence of a comorbid state among in the patient ($\beta 0.26$, $p 0.03$) and the socio-economic class ($\beta 0.41$, $p 0.00$)

Concerning the social domain, the significant predictors of participants quality of life include patients' socioeconomic status ($\beta 0.32$, $p 0.01$), GMFCS level of the patients ($\beta -0.07$, $p 0.05$).

The statistically significant predictors of the quality of life of the participants in the environment domain includes marital status of caregiver ($\beta 0.43$, $p 0.00$) and GMFCS levels ($\beta-0.56$, $p 0.01$).

Quality of life among care givers of children with cerebral palsy in rivers state

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the caregivers in the study

Variables	Frequencies N=96	Percentages (%)
Age group in years		
< 30	45	46.9
≥ 30	51	53.1
Mean age 37.67±8.27		
Sex		
Males	18	18.7
Females	78	81.3
Marital status		
Married	67	69.8
Single	16	16.7
Co-habiting	8	8.3
Others	5	5.2
Level of education		
None	7	7.3
Primary	12	12.5
Secondary	24	25
Tertiary	53	55.2
Relationship with the patient		
Mother	65	67.7
Father	16	16.7
Relative	11	11.4
Non related	4	4.2
Employment status		
Employed	69	71.9
Not employed	27	28.1

Table 2: Characteristics of patients whose caregivers Participated in the Study

Variable	Frequency N=96	Percentages (%)
Age in years		
≤ 2	14	14.6
3-5	34	35.4
6-8	20	20.8
≥ 9	28	29.2
Mean age 6.87±4.22		
Sex		
Males	52	54.2
Females	44	45.8
Socio economic status		
Upper	20	20.8
Middle	45	46.9
Lower	31	32.3
GMFCS-E&R levels		
Level 1	38	39.6
Level 2	32	33.3
Level 3	18	18.6
Level 4	4	4.2
Level 5	4	4.2
Presence of comorbidities		
Yes	56	58.3
No	40	41.7

Table III: WHOQOL-Bref scores for the different QoL domains

Quality of Life Domain	N	Mean	SD	Minimum	Medium	Maximum
Physical Health Domain	96	61.3	15.3	27.0	63.5	87.5
Psychological Domain	96	59.5	16.1	25.0	61.5	80.5
Social Relationships Domain	96	57.9	24.5	19.7	59.8	98.0
Environment Domain	96	51.8	16.2	23.9	50	80.5

Table IV: Predictors of the QoL in the four different domains using multiple regression analysis

WHOQOL-BREF	N =96	R ²	B	P
Physical Health		0.25		0.87
Age of care giver			-0.42	0.24
Sex of care giver			0.19	0.48
Marital status			-0.32	0.08
Socio economic class			0.48	0.09
GMFCS			0.42	0.00**
Presence of comorbidities			0.46	0.12
Employment status			-0.17	0.39
Age of the patient			-0.98	0.26
Psychological		0.34		0.00**
Age of care giver			0.58	0.00**
Sex of care giver			0.24	0.56
Marital status			0.54	0.01**
Socio economic class			0.41	0.00**
GMFCS-E&R levels			0.39	0.43
Presence of comorbidities			0.26	0.03**
Employment status			0.73	0.08
Age of the patient			0.25	0.31
Social Relationships		0.36		0.00**
Age of care giver			0.32	0.52
Sex of care giver			0.34	0.19
Marital status			0.32	0.01**
Socio economic class			-0.15	0.58
GMFCS levels			-0.07	0.05**
Presence of comorbidities			0.84	0.06
Employment status			0.14	0.56
Age of the patient			-0.56	0.80
Environment		0.25		0.00**
Age of care giver			0.38	0.57
Sex of care giver			-0.15	0.34
Marital status			0.57	0.06
Socio economic class			0.43	0.00**
GMFCS levels			-0.56	0.01**
Presence of comorbidities			0.34	0.18
Employment status			0.16	0.60
Age of the patient			-0.36	0.14

Discussion

The management of children with CP entails a multidisciplinary approach however, the child's family remains at the center of the treatment team, and a child's challenges can be a significant

source of stress for the family, affecting quality of life of the caregivers including their parents.^[12] The quality of life of caregivers of children with cerebral palsy, according to the findings of our study is low. This finding is similar to earlier studies that had reported that caregivers/parents of children who have cerebral palsy and other chronic conditions had a much lower quality of life compared to parents of normal healthy children.^[13-16] Although this present study is not a comparative study, it however highlights the burden of caring for a child with a chronic health condition like cerebral palsy. Children with cerebral palsy require varied forms of care because of the peculiar nature of their disorder with most of them relying on someone for their day to day living activities.^[17]

Among the four domains of QoL, the participants in this study had a relatively greater QoL in the physical health domain and a much lower QoL in the psychological, social relationships and environment domains. Several studies agree with this finding,^[15,18,19] studies reported that, in comparison to parents or caregivers of healthy children, parents/caregivers of children with cerebral palsy frequently complained of significant and long-lasting stress, emotional and cognitive problems, in addition to several somatic problems over time.^[15,18,19]

Similarly, in a study among mothers of children with cerebral palsy, it was reported that these mothers experienced psychological pressures, stress, and depression. They worry about the care and outcome of their child and hence, devote most of their waking hours to the child's nutritional needs, treatment, as well as the child's rehabilitation which lead to a much lower quality of life across nearly all the domains.^[15,20]

Borzoo et al^[12] reported that the physical and psychologic QoL of mothers with children who have cerebral palsy was poor and that the financial cost of taking care of these children even made it worse. They reported that in contrast, mothers of healthy children have more free time

and are more engaged in social activities, entertainment, and at their work place hence their QoL in the physical and psychologic domains were good. Similarly, Olsson & Hwang^[21] reported that families with a child with disability were more likely to experience a higher level of psychological stress, anxiety and depression ^[21]

In contrast with the finding of this study, kurowska-Zawada et al ^[22];in their study of parents of children with cerebral palsy asserts that about 50% of the parents were satisfied with their health and thought that their quality of life was good. They opined that these parents may have adjusted to their circumstances and embraced them. They also reported that these families exhibited greater level of resilience, which minimized the adverse outcomes like stress and depression when compared to families with healthy children.^[22]

Studies have shown that chronic diseases and other problems that pose a risk to health are linked to QoL. These illnesses can lower a mother's mean QOL score in relation to her child's physical role limits, overall health, and physical discomfort.^[17,23] Thus, it is possible that the need to constantly provide special care for children with CP contributed to the poor QoL noted in the psychological, social relationships and environment Domain among the participants in this study.

This present study found that the environmental domain of caregivers' QoL was most affected having the lowest mean score with respect to other domains. This is comparable with reports by Bello et al^[24] who asserted that the reason for the lower QoL score in the environmental domain in their study could be due to the caregivers' potential limitations on their freedom of movement as a result of the child's high need for assistance with their activities of everyday living, thus affecting the caregiver's general health.

Finding from this study showed that socioeconomic and marital status, sex and the ages of the caregivers, presence of co morbidity among the CP patient's as well as their functional ability level were the significant predictors of caregiver's quality of life.

Our research indicates that socioeconomic class predicts almost all the facets of Quality of Life of caregivers. This is confirmed by another study with comparable findings^[25]. Numerous studies have indicated that a person's socioeconomic situation directly affects their quality of life.^[25-27] This is due to the fact that having a higher socioeconomic status typically translates into being able to gain access to better medical care, career opportunities, as well as having more income and wealth. Higher socioeconomic level is also associated with more stable living conditions, such as having access to a clean and safe place to reside, reliable transportation, and other basic necessities. All of these factors may result in better physical and mental health outcomes, greater interpersonal relationships, involvement in the community, and a greater sense of personal satisfaction.^[28]

Quality of life appears to be more strongly associated to marital status as seen in this study, this is similar to an earlier study.^[29] According to a study by Mannell&Dupuis^[29] Married persons had higher levels of life satisfaction than unmarried adults. They opined that being married offers social assistance and companionship, which improves satisfaction with life, and married persons enjoy greater financial contentment and health, both of which have been linked with a better coping mechanism and better QoL.

Unlike the age of the child with CP that was not a predictor of caregiver's QoL, the age of the caregiver and presence of a comorbidity was a significant predictor of the psychological domain. This finding agrees with Kalay et al.^[30] It is possible that with a secondary disorder there will be

need for more clinic visits, higher cost of health care, less leisure time. And with advance age in the caregiver, it becomes more difficult to cope with the child.

Contrary to several other previous study, this current study demonstrates that a child with cerebral palsy who has a poorer functioning ability have an unfavorable impact on their caregiver's quality of life.^[24,31,32] The reason for this could because of the multiple hospital visits for rehabilitation, the dependent state of the child, high cost of therapy and the out-of-pocket payment that is common in our society.

Conclusion

This study has shown that the quality of life in caregivers of children with cerebral palsy is low. The predictors include age and marital status of the care giver, patients' level of function, presence of comorbidity and socioeconomic status. Proper functioning of the caregiver increases the possibility of creating conditions needed for the optimum growth and development of the child with a chronic condition like cerebral palsy.

From this finding it is recommended that

1. In order to properly care for and rehabilitate a child with cerebral palsy, it is imperative to evaluate and enhance the quality of life (QoL) of the caregivers.
2. Social care support services should be included in government initiatives to improve the quality of life for caregivers.

Reference

1. The WHOQOL Group. Development of the World Health Organization WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment. *Psychol Med.* 1998;28(3):551-8.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/s0033291798006667>
2. Rosenbaum P, Paneth N, Leviton A, et al. A report: The definition and classification of cerebral palsy April 2006. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology* 2007; 49: 8–14.
3. Gabriel-Job N, Frank-Briggs AI, Douglas S. Cerebral Palsy among Children Seen in University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital: Aetiologies and Comorbidities. *JAMMR* 2022;34(5):90-6. Available from:
<https://journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/article/view/4416>
4. Frank-Briggs AI, D Alikor EA. Pattern of paediatric neurological disorders in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. *Int J Biomed Sci.* 2011;7(2):145-149.
5. Saka MJ, Odunewu MA, Saka AO, et al. Quality of Life of Children with Cerebral Palsy: Accumulative Effect of Physiotherapy Intervention in North Central and Southwest Nigeria. *African Journal of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation Sciences* 1970; 9: 27–33.
6. Adegoke BOA, Adenuga OO, Olaleye OA, Akosile CO. Quality of life of mothers of children with cerebral palsy and their age-matched controls. *African Journal of Neurological Sciences* 2014;33(1):71-78
7. Barreto TM, Bento MN, Barreto TM, et al. Prevalence of depression, anxiety, and substance-related disorders in parents of children with cerebral palsy: a systematic review. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology* 2020; 62: 163–168.
8. Yang J, Lin L, Gao Y, Wang W, Yuan L. Interventions and strategies to improve social support for caregivers of children with chronic diseases: An umbrella review. *Front Psychiatry.* 2022;13:973012. doi:10.3389/fpsy.2022.973012
9. Paulson A, Vargus-Adams J. Overview of Four Functional Classification Systems Commonly Used in Cerebral Palsy. *Children (Basel).* 2017;4(4):30. doi:10.3390/children4040030
10. Silva PAB, Soares SM, Santos JFG, Silva LB. Cut-off point for WHOQOL-bref as a measure of quality of life of older adults. *Revista de Saúde Pública* 2014;48:390–7.
<https://doi.org/10.1590/s0034-8910.2014048004912>
11. Ibadin, Michael O., and George O. Akpede. “A Revised Scoring Scheme for the Classification of Socio-Economic Status in Nigeria.” *Nigerian Journal of Paediatrics* 48;(1):26–33.
<https://doi.org/10.4314/njp.v48i1.5>.
12. Borzoo S, Nickbakht M, Jalalian M. Effect of child’s cerebral palsy on the mother: a case control study in Ahvaz, Iran. *Acta Fac Med Naiss.* 2014;31(1):75-9.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2478/afmnai-2014-0008>
13. Diwan DS, Chovatiya DH, Diwan DJ. Depression and quality of life in mothers of children with cerebral palsy. *Natl J Integr Res Med.* 2011;2(4):11-3.
14. Davis E, Shelly A, Waters E, Boyd R, Cook K, Davern M. The impact of caring for a Child with cerebral palsy: quality of life for mothers and fathers. *Child Care Health Dev.* 2010;36(1): 63-73. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2214.2009.00989.x>
15. Glinac A, Matović L, Delalić A, Mešalić L. Quality of Life in Mothers of Children with Cerebral Palsy. *ACTA CLINICA CROATICA* 2017:299–307.
<https://doi.org/10.20471/acc.2017.56.02.14>.
16. Farnik M, Brozek G, Pierzchala W, Zejda JE, Skrzypek M, Walczak L. Development, evaluation and validation of a new instrument for measurement quality of life in the parents of

children with chronic disease. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2010;8(9):151.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-8-151>

17. Ahmadizadeh Z, Rassafiani M, Khalili MA, Mirmohammadkhani M. Factors Associated with Quality of Life in Mothers of Children with Cerebral Palsy in Iran. *Hong Kong Journal of Occupational Therapy* 2015;25:15–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hkjot.2015.02.002>.
18. Kaya K, Unsal-Delialioglu S, Ordu-Gokkaya NK, Ozisler Z, Ergun N, Ozel S, Ucan H. Musculo-skeletal pain, quality of life and depression in mothers of children with cerebral palsy. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2010;32(20):1666-72. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/09638281003649912>
19. Romeo DM, Cioni M, Distefano A, Battaglia LR, Costanzo L, Ricci D, Sanctis RD, Romeo MG, Mazzone D, Mercuri E. Quality of life in parents of children with cerebral palsy: is it influenced by the child's behaviour? *Neuropediatrics*. 2010; 41(3):121-6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0030-1262841>
20. Almasri NA, Palisano RJ, Dunst CJ, Chiarello LA, O'Neil ME, Polansky M. Determinants of needs of families of children and youth with cerebral palsy. *Child Health Care*. 2011;40(2):130-54. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02739615.2011.564568>
21. Olsson MB, Hwang CP. Depression in mothers and fathers of children with intellectual disability. *J Intellect Disabil Res* 2001; 45(Pt 6): 535-43. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2788.2001.00372.x>
22. Okurowska Zawada B, Kułak W, Wojtkowski J, Sienkiewicz D, Paszko Patej G. Quality of life of parents of children with cerebral palsy. *Prog Health Sci* 2011; 1(1):116-23.
23. Davis, E., Shelly, A., Waters, E., Boyd, R., Cook, K., Davern, M., et al. (2010). The impact of caring for a child with cerebral palsy: Quality of life for mothers and fathers. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, 36(1), 63e73.
24. Bello UM, Chutiyami M and Salihu D. Predictors of quality of life among informal caregivers of children with cerebral palsy: A cross-sectional survey in Northeastern Nigeria. *Afr. J. Med. Med. Sci.* (2020) 49, 263-270
25. Wingen, T., Englich, B., Estal-Muñoz, V. et al. Exploring the Relationship between Social Class and Quality of Life: the Mediating Role of Power and Status. *Applied Research Quality Life* 2021;16:1983–1998. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11482-020-09853-y>
26. Gao B., Yang S., Liu X., Ren X., Liu D., Li N. Association between social capital and quality of life among urban residents in less developed cities of western China: A cross-sectional study. *Medicine*. 2018;97:e9656. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000009656. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
27. Kaur M., Chakrapani V., Newtonraj A., Lakshmi P.V.M., Vijin P.P. Social capital as a mediator of the influence of socioeconomic position on health: Findings from a population-based cross-sectional study in Chandigarh, India. *Indian J. Public Health*. 2018;62:294. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
28. Nutakor JA, Zhou L, Larnyo E, Addai-Danso S, Tripura D. Socioeconomic Status and Quality of Life: An Assessment of the Mediating Effect of Social Capital. *Healthcare (Basel)*. 2023;11(5):749. Published 2023 Mar 3. doi:10.3390/healthcare11050749
29. Mannell RC, Dupuis S. Life Satisfaction in *Encyclopedia of Gerontology (Second Edition)*, 2007
30. Kalay L, Fujimori S, Suzuki H, Minamoto K, Ueda K, Wei C-N, et al. Description of environmental determinants of quality of life in children with intellectual disability in Japan using the Delphi technique. *Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine* 2009; 15:73–83. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12199-009-0109-6>.

31. Ones K., Yilmaz E., Cetinkaya B. and Caglar N. Assessment of the quality of life of mothers of children with cerebral palsy (primary caregivers). *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair* 2005; 19(3):232-237.
32. Davis E., Shelly A., Waters E., et al. The impact of caring for a child with cerebral palsy: quality of life for mothers and fathers. *Child: care, health and development* 2009; 36(1): 63-73

UNDER PEER REVIEW