

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_112741
Title of the Manuscript:	Secondary breast angiosarcoma: A case report and Review of literature
Type of the Article	Case report

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes.</b> Angiosarcoma is a rare soft tissue tumor of the breast. It occurs in two forms: Primary angiosarcoma without a known precursor, and secondary angiosarcoma that is associated with breast irradiation. With the use of breast conservation therapy, the incidence of secondary angiosarcoma will likely increase. So, a judicious approach to use of adjuvant radiotherapy in the treatment of breast cancer should be considered.</p> <p>2. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>4. <b>No. Details of the case is given in discussion.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>In introduction:</b> Among the uncommon breast conditions is angiosarcoma, a rare highly malignant soft tissue tumor originating from the lining endothelial cells of vesicular or lymphatic channels. <b>It should be vascular.</b></p> <p><b>In 1st paragraph of discussion, a description of a surgical specimen is given. Kindly explain as to whose specimen is this as it is stated in the 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph that a biopsy was done. The events in the case presentation should be narrated chronologically.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	No. Grammar, spelling and punctuation errors are present.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Umarani M K</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>RIMS, India</b>