

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_113289
Title of the Manuscript:	MODELLING THE RELATIONSHIPS OF PSYCHOSOCIAL HAZARD AND WORKPLACE SAFETY OUTCOMES AMONG CONSTRUCTION WORKERS IN RIVERS STATE.
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Within the scope of the Journal, the manuscript presents a modelling the relationships of psychosocial hazard among construction workers.</li> <li>2. No need to change the title of the manuscript.</li> <li>3. Yes, in Keywords, construction workers found less in the manuscript and Rivers state seems to be irrelevant.</li> <li>4. The research gap is vague and needs more improvement. •Though literature survey carried out was satisfactory but cited references (Excluding two) are very old more than 8-20 years' old which indicates that recent development in the work is not explored. Aim and objectives of the study is not defined explicitly. A greater emphasis is needed on the human component of the work. How are their jobs structured?</li> <li>5. Justification of using the following in this manuscript. Yamane 1967, SPSS version 26, Cronbach alpha &amp; (AMOS) software. Rewrite them accordingly.</li> <li>6. All the abbreviations listed in table 4 are not stated in manuscript. Table 4 to be placed immediately after starting of section 4.2. Though detailed discussions were carried out but the outcome of the research are generic and well known and established fact. Include recent References within 3 years</li> </ol> <p><u>Additional Suggestions/Comments</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Absenteeism is not safety factor</li> <li>• Conclusion is not in line with the own objectives of the author.</li> <li>• Provide the few filled questionnaires' of survey participants.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The language of the article needs to be revised particularly in the section of Results and discussion.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>K. R. Balasubramanian</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Institute of Technology, India</b>