

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_112242
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Analysis and feasibility of Self-Propelled Rotor Power Weeder
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I think this issue is very old. 2. The title is okay but it should be 'rotary' instead of 'rotor'. 3. Yes. 4. Titles of subsections are okay but not the contents. There is no engineering/technical drawing or lack construction details of the weeder. Discussion is not present and all are actually results. 5. The manuscript is based on tested data and established formula. So, nothing to tell about its right or wrong. 6. The number of references are very short. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language is very poor with large number of mistakes. Several technical words are wrongly spelled and sentences are not grammatically correct in many places.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The manuscript requires significant improvement in terms of error corrections in language and appropriate references of similar work before.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hasib Mansur
Department, University & Country	Kansas State University, USA