

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_112794
Title of the Manuscript:	Study the Effect of Packaging Material and Storage Duration on Qualities of Multigrain mixes from Finger millet malt, moth bean malt and Drumstick leaf powder
Type of the Article	Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes but the botanical names of the plants should be added to the title.</p> <p>Not too comprehensive</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The article is well written and contributes to the scientific community.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The tables need to be properly formatted</li> <li>The abbreviations used in the tables were not properly defined using the footnotes</li> <li>Most of the citations were too outdated, while majority of the cited authors were not found in the referencing list</li> <li>The article is too lengthy, and needs to be concise and exact so as to capture the minds of the readers</li> <li>The discussion lack sufficient citation to correlate your findings with existing literature.</li> </ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ofoedum, Arinze Francis</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Technology, Nigeria</b>