

MICROTUBER INDUCTION AND PLANT REGENERATION OF POTATO (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) - A REVIEW

Abstract

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is one of the most economically significant annual vegetable crops occupying the fourth position worldwide among all the food crops. The primary disadvantage of the conventional seed production technique is the speed at which viruses and other diseases damage seed tubers, the low rate of seed multiplication, the bigger size of the tubers, which results in higher seed production costs, and the longer time it takes to acquire breeder seed. The development and utilization of microtubers in potato cultivation offer several advantages. Microtubers can be grown, stored for up to a year, and directly shipped to the market without the need for acclimatization or transfer to a new medium. This significantly shortens the field cycle required to obtain an adequate number of seed potatoes and ensures a high level of healthy materials. In the process of plant regeneration, shoot tip and node or internodal explants are commonly used, which have a survival rate of approximately 90%. The use of MS medium supplemented with benzyl amino purine (BAP) and kinetin has shown positive results in promoting shoot formation. Additionally, the efficiency of microtuber induction in potato has been found to be most favorable when using the MS medium. To further enhance the efficiency of microtuber induction, it has been observed that subjecting the plants to a 16-hour photoperiod and total darkness can yield better results. In conclusion, this review article carefully examines previous scientific data and outlines a suitable pathway for a successful protocol in the *in vitro* regeneration of potatoes.

Key words: Microtuberization, *Solanum tuberosum*, regeneration, plant growth regulators, microtubers.

Introduction

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) popularly known as ‘The king of vegetables’, belongs to the family ‘Solanaceae’ and has emerged as the fourth most important food crop in India after rice, wheat and maize. Potato is a nutritionally superior vegetable as well as a staple food not only in our country but also throughout the world. In Production, India is in second place after China with 50 mt (FAO Statistics, 2018-19). According to FAO, potato is consumed by more than one billion people the world over. It is a high-quality vegetable cum food crop and protein is produced by this crop 83% more efficiently than rice. Nutritional point of view, potato is a wholesome food and deserves to be promoted as a potential high-quality vegetable cum food crop in the country. It is one of the richest vegetable sources of vitamins from the B complex group, like pyridoxine (vitamin B6), thiamine, niacin, pantothenic acid, folate, and an excellent source of antioxidant and vitamin C [15].

It can be propagated either by botanical seed like true potato seed or by vegetative means of tubers. Seed potato tubers are utilized for multiplication and production [35 29]. This conventional method has several disadvantages low rate of multiplication and high risk of various diseases and pathogens. To get disease-free plants particularly virus-free planting materials, farmers can use *in vitro* grown micro tuber and plantlets obtained. Apart from mass clonal

propagation and promoting healthy, virus-free plants, biotechnology will also contribute to improved potato breeding. Meristem culture and *in vitro* tuberization are the most common methods for *in vitro* regeneration of potatoes [27].

The micro tuber has become an important mode of rapid multiplication for pre-basic stock in seed tuber multiplication as well as germplasm exchange [39]. A micro tuber is a small potato tuber produced in tissue culture. It is easier to handle micro tuber than *in vitro* plants. The micro tuber has advantages in storage, transportation, and production because of its small size and lightweight. They can be planted directly on land and can be produced every season. The characteristics of micro tubers like those found in field tubers make them a very important process to optimize and have a great impact on the world [32]. There have been studies using bioreactors for mass-producing microtubers for commercial production. However, the bioreactor has some disadvantages like high cost and a greater chance of microbial contamination. In this study, we briefly review research on *in vitro* regeneration of potatoes that has been conducted.

***In vitro* regeneration of potato**

Effect of explants on in vitro regeneration of potato

Explants play a vital role in the regeneration of potato crops through organogenesis and somatic embryogenesis. Okazawa et al. [23] were the first to report that shoots could regenerate from large tuber explants. A tuber's main function is storage, built primarily from parenchymatic cells. Axillary buds (“eyes”) are located at the tuber surface. Khadiga et al. [13] reported by using the tuber segments as explants, the highest percentage of callus with 81% of the shoots survival rate was obtained. Haque et al. [10] concluded that explants of leaf showed the best results in terms of callus length and weight, whereas explants of node or internode showed the best results in terms of shoot length and the number of leaves per plantlet. Additionally, shoot tip explants produced better results for root length after 28 days of plantlet growth, whereas internode explants performed better for root length after 21 days of plantlet growth. Liljana et al. [16] concluded that explants from different cultivars treated with GA₃ gave good results in stimulating sprout formation & treated tubers had 100% effect on sprout growth. Gami et al. [8] reported that when sprouts were used as an explant for initiation of culture with different combinations of growth hormones and the resultant shoots were divided and sub-cultured in the same environment for further multiplication, frequency of shoots regenerating (78%) was observed. Molla et al. [21] reported that explants from *in vitro*-grown potato varieties were derived from leaves and internodal explants demonstrated better shoot initiation results than leaf explants. In another study, Shahriya *et al.* (2015) reported by using shoot tip and nodal segment explants from field-grown plants, the highest rate of shoot multiplication (73%) was obtained using MS medium with different hormone concentrations while the highest rate of root regeneration (96%) was obtained using MS medium without hormones. Dessoky et al. [6] reported that *in vitro* propagation of potatoes, the highest percentage of survived nodal segments (explant) was obtained by surface sterilization with 0.2 % HgCl₂ fixative for five minutes. Mohamed et al. [19] concluded that a significant increase in the density of single-node explants increases the number of microtubers and yields. Xhulaj and Gixhari, [38] found that the suitable sterilization protocol giving high percentages of survived individuals was that of 1% HgCl₂. The sterilized sprouts were cut to isolate apical meristems which were cultured on shoot induction medium containing solidified MS media with vitamins and exogenous plant growth regulators and incubated at optimized culture conditions in room culture (Table 1).

Effect of hormones on shoot formation in potato

The different types of cytokinins (BAP, Kinetin, GA₃) are used for shoot induction and multiplication of shoots in potato crops. Khuri and Moorby (1996) stated that shoot and root formation were found to be better in combined treatment of IBA and kinetin than in single treatment of IBA or kinetin. Badoni et al. [3] reported that a lower concentration of auxin (0.01 mg/l NAA) with GA₃ (0.25 mg/l) improved the shoot height in the MS medium when compared to the MS medium with Kin and NAA. Khadiga et al. [13] reported that MS medium containing 5.0 mg/l TDZ had the highest days to shoot initiation, the highest percentage of callus with shoot (81%) and the highest number of shoots per callus (3.4). Shoots derived from callus, rooted most effectively in half-strength MS medium containing 0.5 mg/l IBA. Haque et al. [10] confirmed node and node/internode explants produced better results when concentrations of BAP and GA₃ were used. Similarly, internode explants produced better results for root length after 21 days of plantlet when a concentration of 1.0 mg/l IAA + 0.25 mg/l GA₃ was used. Shoot tip explants also produced better results in root length after 28 days of plantlet when concentrations 1.0 mg/l IAA + 0.25 mg/l GA₃ were used. Khadiga et al. [13] reported that the highest callus percentage was observed on MS supplemented with 2.0 mg/l 2, 4-D. MS medium supplemented with BAP and TDZ singly or TDZ and BAP in combination with IBA and 2,4-D were employed for shoot regeneration. There was a 100% rooting response in all the media combinations as well as media without IBA. Laboney et al. [14] stated that the concentration of 2, 4-D is 2 mg/l has shown to be better for induction of callus (95.00%) within a minimum number of days (10.00), the maximum number (4.75), and the weight of callus (0.07 g) are also better results, respectively. Upon regeneration, the maximum number (3.75), as well as the highest shoot initiation (75.0%) was observed within a few days (15.50) with 1.0 mg/l BAP, as well as the maximum number (2.92), and the longest shoot (4.42 cm). Gami et al. [8] concluded by several experiments, that an MS medium supplemented with different concentrations of IBA, kinetin, NAA and 2,4-D were tested for initiation and multiplication of shoots showed good results differed according to the combinations of treatment: IBA 1.0 mg/l and NAA 1.0 mg/l + kinetin 2.0 mg/l were observed for a single shoot. IBA 1.0 mg/l + NAA 2.0 mg/l + kinetin 1.0 mg/l were observed for single shoots as well as branches. IBA 1.0 mg/l + NAA 1.0 mg/l + kinetin 1.0 mg/l + 2 4-D 1.0 mg/l and same combination except 2 4-D 1 mg/l were observed for combination multiple shoots. IBA 1.0 mg/l + NAA 1.0 mg/l + kinetin 2.0 mg/l and 2.0 mg/l IBA + 2.0 mg/l kinetin + 2.0 mg/l NAA + 1.0 mg/l 2,4-D were observed for combination multiple shoots as well as roots and for micro tuber production, high level of sucrose (8%) gave promising results. Molla et al. [21] concluded that MS basal medium supplemented with 0.5 mg/l IBA and 30 g sugar was used for *in vitro* potato plant development *via* nodal cuttings. The best result was obtained using MS medium supplemented with ZR (zeatin riboside) at a concentration of 5 mg/l from internodal and leaf explants in *in vitro* regeneration. Moreover, half-strength MS medium supplemented with 0.5 mg/l IBA produced well-developed roots within 21 days which gave the 100% survival at *ex vitro* condition. Shahriyar et al. [31] reported that the highest percentage of shoot multiplication (73%) was noticed in MS medium containing 2mg/l BAP with maximum number of shoots, and highest length of shoots. Higher concentrations of hormones showed a negative effect on root induction. Dessoky et al. [6] confirmed that the explants are cultured show high levels of shoot initiation and proliferation (90%), node number (50%), and rooting (52%) on PS3 (MS medium containing 3 mg/l GA₃ and 0.1 mg/l kinetin) producing 14 shoots/magenta from 6 nodes, while the lowest percentage (15%) was observed on MS medium without growth regulators. The medium containing 1 mg/l zeatin, 0.1 mg/l IAA and 7.0 mg/l GA₃ was considered the best for direct shoot

regeneration and multiple shoot formation from different cultivars of Potato was reported by Abeuova et al. [1](Table 1). Sota et al. [34] concluded that the proliferation of the explants into plantlets was varied depending on the treatments. Related to shoot lets and root lengths, a slight efficiency of kinetin in comparison to BAP was observed. The higher concentrations of BAP or kinetin (1 mg/l) caused a decrease in biometric parameters except leaves number. The best results for the number of roots per shoot (42.5 ± 3.4) were obtained by using half-strength MS medium supplemented with IBA at 2.0 mg/l. The maximum number of nodes recorded on MS medium containing 0.25 mg/l BAP + 0.03 mg/l NAA + 0.05 mg/L GA3 was 4-5. Additionally, the maximum number of leaves recorded on the same medium was 10.00 [11].

Effect of hormones on in vitro tuberization in potato

Aboshama et al. [2] studied the effect of auxin in the microtuberization were obtained the highest results at 1.5 mg/l NAA (1.66, 158, 8.2 and 5.1, microtuber number, weight, length, and diameter respectively). The effect of BAP proves that microtuberization can be induced *in vitro* in the absence of BAP when the medium is supplemented with 80 g/l sucrose. However, the addition of BAP increased the number of microtubers per shoot significantly at 2 mg/l given the best response in tuberization with short photoperiod. Zakaria et al. [39] concluded that with addition of CCC Improved the microtuberization, Microtuber number increased with increased CCC, but the average weight decreased when CCC was at 500 mg/l and when it was absent, maximum numbers and average weight of microtuber were noted. Light microtuberization efficiency increased when micropropagated source plants were grown under long days (16/8 h d/n) compared with short days (8/16 h d/n), followed by microtuber induction under short days or continuous darkness [30]. Dwiaty and Anggorowati [5] reported that alar and dark photoperiod independently affected microtuber emergence, and the best Alar concentration for microtuber production was 10-3 mg/l with 10.67 microtubers/cutting. The dark period did not have a significant impact on the induction of potato microtuber. Ghavidel et al. [9] followed the experiment results were the mean comparison for photoperiod shows that the highest productivity for whole traits is gained by this treatment 16 h photoperiod and utter darkness by using the combination of 2,4-D (2.26 μ M) and BAP (22.19 μ M), microtubers number, diameter and weight was increased (Table 1). Emaraa et al. [7] reported that the highest multiplication aspects were obtained by MS medium containing 0.2 mg/l NAA together with 0.2 mg/l kinetin. The optimum micro tuber number, heaviest weight and large size resulted from MS medium supplemented with 90 g/l sucrose plus 2.5 or 5.0 μ g/l ABA. Samant et al. [28] stated that over the last decade, several researchers observed that Jasmonic acid (JA), a growth regulator produced by plants exposed to stress seems to be involved in morphogenic events like tuberization and bulb formation and found that JA was by far the stronger *in vitro* promoter of stolon tuberization than Kinetin. In this study, they found that JA supplement at less than 5 μ M in the plantlet multiplication medium generated plantlets with sturdier stems, better-developed root systems, and higher root/shoot biomass ratios compared to plantlets grown on conventional media. Sota et al. [34] reported that a higher percentage (53.3%) of tuberization was observed with the treatment which contained BAP 2 mg/l+ IAA 1 mg/l. However, the lower tuberization percentage (25.9%) was obtained on kinetin 2 mg/l + IAA 0.5 mg/l containing medium. On the other hand, it is observed that tuberization from under medium stolons was induced in a higher percentage for all treatments in comparison to the areal tuberization. The process of microtuberization in potato plants can be stimulated by increasing the concentration of sucrose in the MS medium. In this case, raising the sucrose concentration from

40g/l to 60g/l and 80g/l has shown to promote microtuber formation. Furthermore, when it comes to promoting sprout proliferation in potato varieties, treating the tubers *in vivo* with 30mg/l of GA₃ has been found to be the most effective treatment. This treatment has resulted in the *de novo* sprouting of tubers, indicating its success in stimulating sprout growth [25]. The formation of microtubers was observed on potato seed tuber explants when they were grown on a MS medium supplemented with 0.5 mg/l of BA [36]. Sharma et al. [33] found that, the MS medium supplemented with BAP (1.5 mg/litre) and NAA (0.1 mg/litre) resulted in 100% shoot regeneration with an average of 3.75 shoots per explant. This combination of growth regulators promotes vigorous shoot proliferation. Vigorous shoot proliferation was achieved by fortification of calcium pentothenate (2 mg/litre) and gibberellic acid (0.25 mg/litre) in establishment medium. Pre-tuberization was done by the cultures were incubated for 28 days in liquid multiplication medium supplemented with NAA (0.5 mg/litre). It was also observed that the maximum number of microtubers (24) per culture flask was obtained within a period of 10 days when the tuberization medium was supplemented with 80 g/litre of sucrose. Additionally, the maximum diameter of 0.9 cm was recorded when a growth retardant called chlorocholine chloride was present at a concentration of 500 mg/litre (Table 1). Mohamed et al. [18] found that, that the use of kinetin-induced medium has a slight effect on improving tuberization *in vitro*. However, when kinetin is combined with sucrose, this effect is enhanced, particularly when the concentration of sucrose is increased from 30 or 60 to 90 g/l. The highest percentage of tuberization after 8 weeks and the highest number of microtubers were obtained when a high concentration of sucrose (90 g/l) was used in conjunction with dark conditions.

Conclusion

Potato production frequently starts or continues with degraded seed material because of this constraint. Several factors, including the environment, genotypes, growth nutrients, growth regulators, photoperiods, potato cultivar, sucrose content, temperature, the availability of nitrogen, and the source of the explant influence the intricate physiological process of tuberization. *In vitro* potato microtubers developed from single-node cuttings are more effectively handled and stored than those derived from sprouts. The production of seed potatoes through micropropagation has additional benefits such as rapid multiplication, free from disease due to fewer field exposures and disease-free mother stock than with a conventional multiplication system. Owing to these several advantages, seed potato producers are favouring the new high-tech approach of producing seed potatoes by micropropagation.

Table 1. *In vitro* regeneration of potato

Sl. No	Method	Explant	Medium compositions	Reference
1.	Microtuberization	Nodal segments buds	MS + 3 mg/l GA ₃ + 0.1 mg/l kinetin MS + 5 mg/l BAP + 0.2 mg/l kinetin	Dessoky et al.[6]
2.	Microtuberization	Sprouts	MS + 0.2 mg/l BAP + 0.1 mg/l NAA MS + 1.0 mg/l 2,4-D; MS + 2.0 mg/l BAP	Aboshama et al.[2]
3.	Microtuber induction	Nodal cutting	MS + 10 mg/l BA +500 mg/l CCC.	Zakaria et al.[39]
4.	Callus formation & shoot and root formation	leaf, Node, Internode, and shoot tip	MS + 1.0 mg/l 2,4-D + 0.25 mg/l kinetin MS + 1.0 mg/l IAA + 0.25 mg/l GA ₃	Haque et al.[10]
5.	Callus induction and shoot regeneration	Tuber segments	MS + 5.0 mg/l TDZ MS + 0.5 mg/l IBA	Khadiga et al.[13]
6.	Microtuberization	Single node microcuttings	Modified MS + 120 g sucrose +100 ml Coconut milk	Dwiati and Anggorowati [5]
7.	Microtuberization	Nodal segments	MS + 2.26 µM 2,4-D + 22.19 µM BAP	Ghavidel et al.[9]
8.	Microtuberization	Sprouts	MS + 2.0 mg/l IBA + 2.0 mg/l kinetin + 2.0mg/l NAA + 1.0 mg/l 2,4-D	Gami et al.[8]
9.	Shoot tip culture	Shoot tip explants	MS + 2.0 mg/l 2, 4-D. MS + 1.0 mg/l BAP + 0.5 mg/l GA ₃	Laboney et al. [14]
10.	Microtuberization	Sprouts	MS + 8% sucrose + 4 mg/l kinetin + 1 mg/l BAP	Momena et al. [20]
11.	<i>In vitro</i> shoot regeneration	Internodal explants	MS + 2-5 mg/l ZR.	Molla et al. [21]
12.	Multiple shoots regeneration	Shoot tip nodal segment	MS + 0.1 mg/l GA ₃ MS without hormone	Shahriyar et al. [31]
13.	Regeneration, root induction	Tuber segments	MS + 5 mg/l BAP + 1 mg/l IBA.	Khadiga <i>et al.</i> (2015)

14.	Microtuberization	Seed tubers	MS without hormone	Mohamed et al. [19]
15.	Microtuberformation	Shoots	MS + 0.2 mg/l NAA + 0.2 mg/l kinetin.	Emaraa et al. [7]
16.	Microtuberization	Nodal segments	MS + BAP + JA (1 and 5 mg/l).	Samant et al. [28]
17.	Minituber production	Sprouts	MS + 2 ppm Ca pantothenic acid + 0.25 ppm GA ₃ .	Xhulaj and Gixhari, [38]
18.	Microtuberization	Long sprouts	MS + 4.0 mg/l BAP + 1.0 mg/l IAA.	Borna et al. [4]
19.	Microtuberization	Buds (Sprout)	MS + BAP 2 mg/l + IAA 1 mg/l.	Sota et al. [34]
20.				Ohnuma et al. [22]
21.	Micropropagation	Nodal explant	MS + 0.5 mg/l 2-iP	Pradana et al. [26]
22.	Microtuberization	Seed tubers	MS + 0.4 mg/l kinetin	Oves et al. [24]
23.	Microproagation	Seed tubers	MS+ 10 mg/l BAP + 10 mg/l NAA	Khan et al. [12]
24.	Microtuber induction	Seed tubers	MS + 40 g sucrose + 0.5 mg/l GA ₃	Makau et al.[17]
25.	Microproagation	Nodal explant	MS + 7.5 g/l sucrose without hormone	Karyanti et al. [11]
26.	Microproagation	Seed tubers	MS + 0.25 mg/l BAP + 0.03 mg/l NAA + 0.05 mg/l GA ₃ .	Venat et al. [37]
27.	Microproagation	Seed tubers and nodal explant	MS + 30 mg/l GA ₃ .	Petrova and Gudeva, [25]
28.	Minituber	Seed tubers	MS + 0.5 mg/l BA.	Toma [36]
29.	Microtuber induction	Single Node	MS+ 0.04 mg/l kinetin and 1.0 mg/l IAA.	Mohamed et al. [18]
30.	Microtuber induction	Nodal segment	MS+ 1.5mg/l BAP+ 0.1 mg/l NAA	Sharma et al.[33]

References

1. Abeuova, LS, Kali, BR, Rakhimzhanova, AO, Bekkuzhina, SS, Manabayeva, SA. High frequency direct shoot regeneration from Kazakh commercial potato cultivars. Peer Journal, 2020; 8:9447.
2. Aboshama, H., El-Afifi, ST, Abdel-kafie, OM., Abd Allah, HE. Microtuberization of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) protocol for production of microtuber In vitro. Journal of Plant Production, 2006; 31(9):5909-5919.
3. Badoni, A, Chauhan, JS. Effect of growth regulators on meristem-tip development and in vitro multiplication of potato cultivar 'Kufri Himalini'. Nature and Science, 2009; 7(9):31-34.
4. Borna RS, Hoque, MI, Sarker, RH. *In vitro* microtuber induction and regeneration of plantlets from microtuber discs of cultivated potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Plant Tissue Culture and Biotechnology, 2019; 29(1):63-72. <https://doi.org/10.3329/ptcb.v29i1.41979>
5. Dwiati, M, Anggorowati, S. Induction of in vitro culture of potato microtuber by using alar and dark photoperiod application. AGRIVITA, Journal of Agricultural Science, 2011; 33(1):47-52. <http://doi.org/10.17503/agrivita.v33i1.38>.
6. Dessoky, S, Attia, AO, Ismail, IA, El-Hallous, EI. In vitro Propagation of Potato under Different Hormonal Combinations. International Journal,2016; 4(1):684-689.
7. Emaraa, HA, Hamza, EM, Fekry WA. *In vitro* propagation and microtuber formation of potato in relation to different concentrations of some growth regulators and sucrose. Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research,2017; 6(4).1029-1037.
8. Gami, RA, Parmar, SK, Patel, PT, Tank, CJ, Chauhan, RM, Bhadauria, HS, Solanki, SD. Microtuberization, minitubers formation and in vitro shoot regeneration from bud sprout of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) cultivar K. badshah. African Journal of Biotechnology, 2013; 12(38):22-28. <http://doi.org/10.5897/AJB12.2747>
9. Ghavidel, RA., Bolandi, AR., Hamidi, H, Foroghian, S. Effects of plant growth regulators and photoperiod on *in vitro* microtuberization of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). African Journal of Biotechnology.2012; 11(53):11585-11590. <http://doi.org/10.5897//AJB11.3422>
10. Haque, AU, Samad, ME, Shapla, TL. *In vitro* callus initiation and regeneration of potato. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research, 2009; 34(3):449-456. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/bjar.v34i3.3910.1111/j.1399-3054.1962.tb08052.x> 71
11. Karyanti, K, Khairiyah, H, Sukarnih, T, Rudiyan, Y, Nasrifah, I, Wulansari, A, Dasumiati, D. Micropropagation of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) cv. granola in liquid medium using aeration system for G₀ seed production. Jurnal Bioteknologi & Biosains Indonesia, 2022; 9(2): 158-169. <https://doi.org/10.29122/jbbi.v9i2.4713>.
12. Khan, A, Riaz, N, Hassan, I, Farooq, U, Manzoor, R, Rasool, A, Ullah, K, Erum, NIS. Effect of growth regulators on micropropagation of exotic potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) under *in vitro* condition. Agrocienza,2021; 55(3):1-9
13. Khadiga, G, Rasheid, SM, Mutasim, MK. Effect of cultivar and growth regulator on *in vitro* micropropagation of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). American-Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture, 2009; 3(3):487-492.

14. Laboney, UZ, Biswas, GC, Abdullah-Al-Shoeb, M, Miah, MA. Callus induction and regeneration of potato from shoot tip culture. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 2013; 3(10):1-40.
15. Leszczyński, W. Nutrition value of potato and potato products (review of literature). *Biuletyn Instytutu Hodowli i Aklimatyzacji Roślin*, 2012; (266): 5-20.
16. Liljana, GK, Mitrev, S, Fidanka, T, Mite, I. Micropropagation of Potato *Solanum tuberosum* L. *Electronic Journal of Biology*, 2012; 8(3):45-49.
17. Makau, FM., Mwangi, M, Oyoo, M.E, Kibe, AM, Oggema, J. Effects of Sucrose and Gibberellic Acid on Growth and Survival of Local Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) Varieties in vitro in Kenya. *European Journal of Biology and Biotechnology*, 2022; 3(4):13-18. <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejbio.2022.3.4.372>
18. Mohamed, AES, Girgis, ND. Factors affecting in vitro tuberization of potato. *Bulletin of the National Research Centre*, 2023; 47(1):80.
19. Mohamed, FH, Abdel-Hamid, KE, Omar, GF, El-Safty, BA. *In vitro* microtuberization of potato: effect of explant density, source, and genotype. *Arab Universities Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 2017; 25(2):243-257. <https://doi.org/10.21608/ajs.2017.13577>
20. Momena, K, Adeba, R, Mehraj, H, Jamal Uddin, AFM, Islam, S, Rahman, L. *In Vitro* microtuberization of Potato (*Solanum Tuberosum* L.) cultivar through sucrose and growth regulators. *Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research*, 2014; 2(2):76-82.
21. Molla MMH, Nasiruddin KM, Al-Amin M, Khanam D, Salam MA. Effect of Growth Regulators on Direct Regeneration of Potato. *International Conference on Environment and Industrial Innovation, IPCBEE*, 2011; 12, IACSIT Press, Singapore
22. Ohnuma, M, Teramura, H, Shimada, H. A simple method to establish an efficient medium suitable for potato regeneration. *Plant Biotechnology*, 2020; 37(1):25-30.
23. Okazawa, Y, Katsura N, Tagawa, T. Effect of auxin and kinetin on the development and differentiation of potato tissue cultured in vitro. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 1967; 20: 862–869.
24. Oves EV, Gaitova NA, Shishkina OA. *In vitro* tuberization in potato varieties of different ripe time. *Research on Crops*, 2021; 22: 22-25. <https://doi.org/10.31830/2348-7542.2021.006>
25. Petrova, I, Gudeva, LK. Micropropagation of potato seed tubers (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) under in vitro conditions. *Journal of Agriculture and Plant Sciences*, 2022; 20(2): 37-43. <https://doi.org/10.46763/JAPS22202037p>.
26. Pradana, OCP, Maulida, D, Andini, SN. Micropropagation of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) var. Atlantic on various culture media composition. In *International Conference on Agriculture and Applied Science*, 2021; 21-35.
27. Saker, MM, Moussa, TA, Heikal, NZ, ELLil, AHA, Abdel-Rahman, RM. Selection of an efficient in vitro micropropagation and regeneration system for potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) cultivar Desiree. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 2012; 11(98): 16388-16404. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB12.2313>

28. Samant, A, Kumar, VA, Kumar, A, Shukla, PS, Joshi, K. *In vitro* microtuber production in potato cultivar kufri himalini. *Advances Plants Agriculture Research*, 2018; 8:648-653. <https://doi.org/10.15406/apar.2018.08.00399>
29. Schulte-Geldermann, E, Kakuhenzire, R, Sharma, K., Parker, M. Revolutionizing Early Generation Seed Potato in East Africa. In *Root, Tuber and Banana Food System Innovations*, Springer, Cham, 2022;389-419.
30. Seabrook JEA, Coleman S, Levy D. Effect of photoperiod on *in vitro* tuberization of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture*, 1993; 34: 43-51
31. Shahriyar, S, Akram, S, Khan, K, Miya, MF, Sarkar, MAR. *In vitro* plant regeneration of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) at the rate of different hormonal concentration. *Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research*, 2015; 1(2):297-303. <https://doi.org/10.3329/ajmbr.v1i2.25625>
32. Sharma, AK, Venkatasalam, EP, Singh, RK. Micro-tuber production behaviour of some commercially important potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) cultivars. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 2011; 81(11): 1008-1013.
33. Sharma, M, Sharma, M, Salgotra, RK, Sharma, M, Singh, AK. Standardization of protocol for *in vitro* tuberization in potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) cultivar Kufri Sindhuri. *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 2023; 93(1):84-88.
34. Sota, V, Bekheet, S, Kongjika, E. Effect of growth regulators on micropropagation and *in vitro* tuberization of *Solanum tuberosum* L. cv. vermosh. *South-Western Journal of Horticulture, Biology and Environment*, 2020; 11(1):20106-20106.
35. Struik, PC, Wiersema, SG. Production of pre-basic seed. Wageningen Academic, In *Seed potato technology*, 1999:173-216.
36. Toma, R.S. Minitubers Production of Four Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) Cultivars by Tissue Culture Technique. *Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 2022; 53(5): 1058-1066.
37. Venat, O, Peticilă, AG, Nicolae, CI, Pomohaci, CM, Hoza, D. Variation of auxins and cytokinines in micropropagation protocols of two worldwide important species: *Solanum tuberosum* and *Ipomoea batatas*. *Scientific papers. Series b. Horticulture*, 2022; 66(2).
38. Xhulaj D, Gixhari B. *In vitro* micropropagation of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) cultivars. *Agriculture Forestry*, 2018; 64(4): 105-112.<http://doi.org/10.17707/agricultforest.64.4.12>.
39. Zakaria, M, Hossain, MM, Mian, MK, Hossain, T, Uddin, MZ. *In vitro* tuberization of potato influenced by benzyl adenine and chloro choline chloride. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2008; 33(3):419-425. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bjar.v33i3.1601>.