

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_112647</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of NAA and Zinc sulphate on Fruiting Parameters and Marketable Yield of Litchi (<i>Litchi chinensis</i> Sonn.) cv. Rose Scented</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is very important for scientific community as naphthalene acetic acid zinc sulphate has influence on fruiting and quality of litchi.</p> <p>2. The title of the article seems okay to me.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article has been written well but need some modification as I commented during review.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are insufficient in the manuscript. Some recent references should be used in the manuscript as I commented. It is also need to add the year of some references as I commented.</p> <p>7. Please mention about the results of Juice content and the total soluble solids (TSS) in the results and discussion section.</p> <p>8. Please include the economic analysis in the results and discussion section</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of the English language of the article is good and suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript can be published after minor corrections as I mentioned above.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Apu Biswas</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bangladesh Tea Research Institute, Bangladesh</b>