

Original Research Article

Influence of NAA and Zinc sulphate on Fruiting Parameters and Marketable Yield of Litchi (~~*Litchi chinensis*~~ *Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) cv. Rose Scented

Formatted: Font: Italic

Abstract:

This experiment was conducted in 2022 and 2023 at the Horticulture Garden, Department of Fruit Science, C.S. Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur (U.P.). On three replications with sixteen treatments, the Factorial Completely Randomized Design (CRD) was used, four levels of NAA (0, 25, 50, and 75 ppm), zinc sulphate (0, 0.2, 0.4, and 0.6 percent), and their combination were sprayed twice, on January 28 and March 16 of each year, respectively, ~~prior to~~ before flowering and fruit setting (at pea stage). This study examines the effects of NAA and ZnSO₄ on litchi cv. Rose scented for enhanced flowering, and high yield of improved quality fruits of litchi. According to the findings, the treatment with NAA 50ppm and 0.4% zinc improved ~~fruiting-fruiting~~ like; fruit set per panicle (258.90 and 259.41), fruit count before the second foliar spray at the pea stage (45.81 and 49.19), fruit count at pit hardening stage (39.15 and 38.70), fruit count at ripening stage (22.80 and 23.44) with a decreased fruit cracking (2.14 and 2.10) and higher yield of marketable fruits (78.34 and 78.29 kg/plant). The consequences of the medium concentration also showed the highest physical parameters of fruit length (3.57 and 3.60 cm), largest fruit diameter (3.22 and 3.20 cm), and fruit weight (20.12 and 22.28 g). It was concluded that the application of NAA and zinc had a non-significant impact on fruiting and quality of litchi, resulting in maximum fruit set and retention and yield-related characteristics like fruit weight that ultimately led to an increase in the yield per plant.

Keywords: Litchi, NAA, ZnSO₄, fruiting parameters, and marketable yield.

Introduction

In India, litchi was introduced in the 18th century through Burma and it quickly spread to other nations. 91% of the world's litchi production is produced in India and China, however,

Commented [BK1]: In India, litchi was introduced through Burma in the 18th century and quickly spread to other countries.

it is primarily sold locally. In India, 98000 hectares of land are used to produce 724000 metric tons of litchi annually (NHB Data-base., 2022).

Commented [BK2]: ha

Commented [BK3]: MT

The litchi (~~Litchi chinensis~~-*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) is the most important sub-tropical evergreen tree which is a member of the family Sapindaceae. The names "Summer Sweet" and "Queen of ~~fruits~~Fruits" are also used to refer to litchi. In terms of botany, the mature fruit of the litchi is a nut, and the juicy aril is the edible part. It is sour and quite sweet when dried. It is a good source of minerals like magnesium, iron, calcium, copper, phosphorous, and potassium as well as carbohydrates, vitamins, and other nutrients (Khan *et al.*, 2021). It is transformed into juice, wine, pickles, jam, jelly, ice cream, and yogurt. The term "litchi nut" refers to dried litchi, which has a raisin-like flavor.

Globally, South-East Asian countries including China, India, Vietnam, and Thailand are the largest producers of litchi, but the fruit is also famous in Africa. Of the total world production of litchi, India is the second largest producer after China. It is grown abundantly in the sub-mountain districts of Uttar Pradesh i.e., Saharanpur and Muzaffarnagar.

It is available in the market from May through June, when there are many other fresh fruits available. However, despite the availability of different fruits in the market, the demand for fresh litchi is still very high due to its unique taste, flavor, and color.

Over the years, plant growth regulators (PGRs) and micronutrients have been systematically used to increase the maximum and long-term economic benefits of litchi production by modifying the behavior of a-fruit or the-fruiting trees. The yield and quality of litchi fruit are positively influenced by trace factors and plant growth regulators. PGR application leads to increased flowering, fruit set, and fruit retention. The cell sap supply pathway to the fruit is severed by the formation of the dermis and the thin cork cells gradually separate, resulting in fruit drop. Early researchers reported that gibberellin affects both cell division and expansion (Megu *et al.*, 2021, Nand *et al.*, 2023). Adjuvants such as naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) greatly influence plant growth; However, ~~its~~-~~their~~ effectiveness depends on application, time, and concentration.

Commented [BK4]: Recheck it

Micronutrients have an important function in improving the growth, yield, and quality of litchi. The metabolic activities of plants are highly dependent on zinc. Zinc mainly acts as a metal activator of enzymes such as dehydrogenase (pyridine nucleotide, glucose - 6 phosphodiesterase, carbonic anhydrase, etc.). Tryptophan, a precursor of IAA, is highly dependent on zinc for synthesis, and is involved in the absorption and retention of water in the plant body. Fruit cracking and splitting also take place during the fruiting season when extremely dry and harsh winds blow. The cracked fruit rots quickly and has no selling value.

The problem of cracking is considered the main obstacle to the expansion of the litchi growing area.

Material and Methods

The well-established healthy and uniform trees of the litchi cultivar Rose Scented were selected for the experiment, located at Horticulture Garden, Department of Fruit Science, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture & Technology Kanpur was selected for the present investigations during 2022 and 2023. The trees were about 30 years old but properly maintained. During this course of the investigation, the whole orchard was kept under clean and uniform cultivation. The plant growth regulator and mineral nutrients were sprayed on the tree. Factorial Completely Randomized Design was used with three replications and sixteen treatments viz., four levels each of NAA (0, 25, 50, and 75ppm) and zinc (0, 0.2, 0.4, and 0.6%) and their combination were sprayed twice. The first spray was applied before flowering (28/01/2022) and the second sprays at the pea stage of fruit ~~were~~was applied on date (16/03/2022) with a sprayer with having very fine nozzle. The same spraying schedule was also followed on 26/01/2023 and 22/03/2023. Care was taken to give uniform spray all over the branch.

The number of fruits per panicles, the number of fruits set, fruit drop, fruits retention, fruits cracked, marketable yield, and juice content. The total soluble solids (TSS) were taken by~~with an~~ Erma hand refractometer.

Results and Discussion

Fruit count ~~prior to before~~ second foliar spray at the pea stage: Interactive effect of NAA and Zinc was found to be non-significant, treatment of N₂Z₂ reported maximum (45.81 and 49.19) fruit count ~~prior to before~~ foliar spray at the pea stage closely followed by treatment N₂Z₃ (45.11 and 48.73). The minimum (35.53 and 38.46) fruit count ~~prior to before~~ foliar spray at the pea stage was presented with control (N₀Z₀) during both the years of experiments. The chemical significantly increased the fruit count as compared to control however, the highest fruit count was obtained with the application of zinc sulphate and NAA. These results corroborate ~~with~~ the work of Saraswat *et al.* in litchi and Kumar *et al.* (2018) in mango.

Fruit count at pit hardening stage: The fruit count at pit hardening was found to be considerably non-significant, the highest fruit count at the pit hardening stage was induced by the N₂Z₂ treatment (39.15 and 38.70), closely followed by the N₂Z₃ treatment (38.22 and 38.22). The control (N₀Z₀) was shown to have the lowest fruit count (30.90 and 30.70) at pit

hardening in both of the trial years. It has long been known that applying plant bio-regulators such as NAA can encourage fruit set in pears. These outcomes also agree with the conclusions of Sinha *et al.*, Pandey *et al.*, and Sharma *et al.* in Litchi.

Commented [BK5]: Check it

Fruit count at ripening stage: Interactive effect of NAA and Zinc was found to be non-significant, treatment of N₂Z₂ reported maximum (22.80 and 23.44) fruit count at the ripening stage closely followed by treatment N₂Z₃ (22.13 and 23.12). The minimum (15.68 and 17.17) fruit counts were recorded under control (N₀Z₀) during both the years of experiments. The chemical significantly increased the fruit count as compared to control however, the highest fruit count was obtained with the application of NAA and zinc sulphate with medium concentrations. These results are aligned with the work of Kumar *et al.* (2018) and in mango Saraswat *et al.* in Litchi.

Commented [BK6]: Check it

Fruit set per panicle: Correlative influence of NAA and Zinc was found significantly maximum under treatment of N₃Z₃ (258.90 and 259.41) fruit set closely followed by treatment N₂Z₃ (256.33 and 257.40). The minimum (212.50 and 214.51) fruit sets were presented with control (N₀Z₀) during both years of experimentation. The chemical significantly increased the fruit set as compared to the control however, the highest fruit set was obtained with the application of 0.4 per-cent zinc sulphate + 50 ppm NAA. It has been early reported that fruit set in pear can be promoted with the application of plant bio-regulators like NAA. These results are also in conformity with the findings of Saraswat *et al.* in litchi and Pandey *et al.* in ber.

Commented [BK7]: Check it

Number of cracked fruits at harvesting: ~~Collective~~ ~~The collective~~ impact of NAA and Zinc was found to be non-significant, treatment of N₂Z₂ induced minimum (2.14 and 2.10) number of cracked fruits at harvesting closely followed by treatment N₂Z₃ (2.33 and 2.28). The maximum (5.54 and 5.68) Number of cracked fruits at harvesting was presented with control (N₀Z₀) during both the experiments. The spraying of NAA was found more effective than ZnSO₄. It is well known that zinc spray regulates auxin in the plants which might have increased the synthesis of tryptophan and indirectly also regulated water relations in plants, zinc in combination with NAA affects the activity of cellulase, thereby maintaining cell wall rigidity reduced the fruit cracking. These results are ~~in accordance with~~ by the reports of in litchi. The present findings are in agreement with the report of Saraswat *et al.*, Kumar *et al.* (2001), and Gupta *et al.* (2022) in litchi. It is commonly known that zinc spray controls auxin in plants, which may have enhanced tryptophan production. Zinc spray also indirectly controls plant water relations. Auxin application may have raised the cell sap's osmotic pressure, caused water absorption and lowered the percentage of fruits that fracture.

Commented [BK8]: Check it

Yield-The yield of marketable fruits (kg/plant): Interactive influence of NAA and Zinc was found to be the non-significant treatment of N₂Z₂ showed maximum (78.34 and 78.29 kg/plant) yield of marketable fruits closely followed by treatment N₂Z₃ (76.43 and 77.04 kg/plant). The minimum (46.01 and 48.35 kg/plant) yield of marketable fruits was presented with control (N₀Z₀) during both ~~the~~ years of experiments. Increasing yield due to NAA or zinc sprays may be attributed to their effects on increasing levels of IAA more than increasing fruit sets. Rapid fruit development and the greater mobilization of food materials from the site of production to storage organs under the influence of zinc and NAA. The present results are in agreement with the reports of Barun and Kumar (2003), Saraswat et al., Shukla et al. in litchi, and in Aonla. The application of zinc sulphate and NAA may have increased yield because zinc was found to be extremely beneficial in the process of photosynthesis, which mobilizes food material and accumulates quality constituents that promote physical attributes like fruit size and weight. NAA significantly reduced fruit drop and accelerated the physiological processes in the plants, both of which contributed to an increase in output.

Commented [BK9]: Check it

Fruit length: Length of fruit were significantly maximized (3.58 and 3.60cm) under treatment of N₂Z₂ (50ppm + 0.4%) followed by N₂Z₃ (3.55 and 3.52cm) over control (N₀Z₀) recorded 2.54cm and 2.62cm values respectively. The superiority ~~on~~ in the size of fruit caused by NAA and zinc treatment might be due to its involvement in cell division and increased intracellular spaces in the parenchymal cells which could have boosted plant health and thereby increased fruit size. These findings are in line with the reports of Chaudhary et al. (2018) in ~~aonla~~ Aonla, Sahay et al., and Saraswat et al. in litchi.

Commented [BK10]: Check it

Fruit diameter: ~~Diameter-The diameter~~ of fruit ~~were~~ was significantly maximized (3.22cm) and (3.20cm) under treatment of N₂Z₂ (50ppm + 0.4%) followed by N₂Z₃ (50ppm + 0.6%) 3.21cm and 3.08cm over control (N₀Z₀) recorded 2.28cm and 2.40cm values respectively. The superiority ~~on~~ in the size of fruit caused by NAA and zinc treatment might be due to its involvement in cell elongation and increased intracellular spaces in the parenchymal cells which could have boosted plant health and thereby increased fruit size. These findings are in agreement with the reports of Singh et al., Saraswat et al. in litchi, and Yadav et al., in ber.

Commented [BK11]: Check it

Fruit weight (g): NAA 50ppm and zinc 0.4% significantly increased the maximum of 20.12 and 22.28g fruit weight of litchi fruit respectively followed by treatment of NAA 50ppm and zinc 0.6% showed 19.87 and 22.03g fresh weight of fruit against control (N₀Z₀) recorded 16.92 and 19.21g values respectively. The enhancement in fruit weight due to the application of NAA might be the fact that NAA may have induced the auxin concentrations in the fruits

which finally helped in the development of fruits as there is a perceptible correlation between the NAA content and fruit growth (Ghosh et al., (2009) in Aonla. These findings are in accordance with the reports of Yadav et al., in Aonla Aonla, Dutta et al. (2011), Sahay et al., Saraswat et al. in litchi.

Commented [BK12]: Check it

Commented [BK13]: Check it

CONCLUSION

Based on results obtained in the present investigation, it is concluded that individual application of NAA and zinc sulphate brought about significant changes in plant metabolism. NAA 50 ppm with zinc sulphate 0.4% performed better in fruit set, fruit retention, fruit ripening, high marketable yield, and maintaining the quality of litchi fruit i.e., TSS and juice content. It also minimized important desired characteristics i.e., fruit drop and fruit cracking. While the sole treatments of NAA 50ppm and zinc sulphate 0.6% showed significantly better results over other treatments. Thus, in view of given the above achievements 50ppm NAA in consumption with 0.4% zinc sulphate may be recommended safely to the Litchi growers for increasing the quality and yield of Litchi fruits. The above recommendations adopted systematically and correctly, possess the potentiality of improving to improve the economic economy and prosperity of the country.

REFERENCES

- Barun, N. and Kumar, R. (2003). Effect of NAA, Zinc sulphate and urea on growth and yield of litchi. *The Orissa Journal of Horticulture*, 31(1): 114- 118.
- Chaudhary, M., Singh, D. P. Singh, J. P., & Mishra, K.K. (2018). "Effect of foliar application of PGRs and mineral nutrients on fruiting behaviour, growth and yield of Aonla CV. NA-7." *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(4): 2714-2718.
- Dutta, P., Chakraborti, K., Jaime, A., Silva, T. D., Roy, S. K., & Samantha, A. (2011). Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit quality and mineral leaf composition of litchi cv. Bombai grown in new alluvial zone of West Bengal. *Fruit, Veg. Cereal Sci. Biote.*, 5(2): 93-95.
- Gupta, A.; Tripathi, V.K. and Shukla, J.K. (2022). Influence of GA3, Zinc and Boron on Fruit drop, Yield and Quality of Litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Litchi chinensis Sonn.). *Biological Forum-International Journal*, 14: 1079-1083.

- Khan, A. U.; Ema, I. J.; Afsana, A. S.; and Khan, A. U. (2021). Home Garden and Women Participation: A Mini Review. *Current Research in Agriculture and Farming*, 2(4): 46-52. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2582-7146.152>.
- Kumar, A.; Singh, C.; Ral, M. and Ranjan, R. (2001). Effect of irrigation, calcium and boron on fruit cracking in litchi cv. Shahi. *Orissa Journal of Horticulture*, 29(1): 55–57.
- Kumar, R.; Tripathi, V.K; Tomar, S. and Chaudhary, M. (2018). Effect of best plant bioregulators and micronutrient for achieving higher yield and quality of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) fruits cv. Amrapali. *Journal of Plant Development Sciences*, 10(11):599-604.
- Megu, O.; Hazarika, B.N.; Wangchu, L.; Sarma, P.; Singh, A.K.; Debnath, P. and Angami, T. (2021). Effect of plant growth regulators and micronutrients on vegetative growth, flowering and yield attributes of Litchi (~~*Litchi chinensis*~~–*Litchi chinensis* S.). *International Journal Plant Soil Sciences*, 33:176-181.
- National Horticulture Board, (2022). Indian Horticulture Database, Gurgaon, Haryana.
- Nand, V; Dwivedi, A.K. and Tripathi, V.K. (2023). Influence of GA3 and ZnSO4 on Fruiting, Yield and Quality Parameters of Litchi (~~*Litchi chinensis*~~–*Litchi chinensis* S.) cv. Dehradun. *J. Exp. Agric. Int.*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 20-30, 2023.
- Naresh, B. and Singh, A. R. (2002). Effect of micro nutrients spray on fruit cracking and fruit maturity in litchi. *Indian Agriculture*, 46(3/4): 203–207.
- Pandey, A.; Tripathi, V.K.; Pandey, M.; Mishra, A.N. and Kumar, D. (2011). Influence of NAA, GA3 and zinc sulphate on fruit drop, growth, yield and quality of ber cv. Banarasi Karaka. *International Symposium on Minor Fruits and Medicinal Plants for Health and Ecological Security*, 184-187.
- Sahay, S., Kumari, P., Mishra, P.K., Rashmi, K., Shrivastava, P., Ahmad, Md. F., & Kumar, R. (2018). Pre-harvest foliar spray of micronutrients and growth regulators on yield attributes of litchi (~~*Litchi chinensis*~~–*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) ‘Purbi’. *Acta Horticulture*, 1211.
- Sharma, P.; Singh, A.K. and Sharma, R.M. (2005). Effect of zinc sulphate and growth regulations on fruit set, fruit weight, length and diameter of litchi (*Litchi chinensis*, Sonn.) cv. Dehradun. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 62 (1): 24-26.
- Singh, T.; Tripathi, V.K. and Tiwari, P. (2017). Influence of pre-harvest application of plant bioregulators and micronutrients on fruit retention, yield and quality attributes of mango. *Progressive Research - An International Journal*, 12(4): 2640- 2644.

Formatted: Font: Italic

- Sinha, A.K.; Singh, L. and Jain, B.P. (1999). Effect of micronutrients and growth regulators on yield and quality of litchi (~~Litchi chinensis~~-*Litchi chinensis* S.). *Journal of Research Birsa Agriculture University Nottingham*, 15(1): 66-68.
- Tiwari, P.; Tripathi, V.K. and Singh, A. (2017) Effect of foliar application of plant bio-regulators and micronutrients on fruit retention, yield and quality attributes of aonla. *Progressive Research-An International Journal*, 12(4):2565-2568.
- Saraswat, N. K., Pandey, U.N. and Tripathi, V.K. (2006). Influence of NAA and zinc sulphate on fruit set, fruit drop, cracking, fruit size, yield and quality of litchi cv. Calcuttia. *Journal of Asian Horticulture*, 2(4):255-269.
- Tripathi, V.K. and Shukla, P.K. (2008). Influence of Plant Bio-Regulators and Micronutrients on Flowering and Yield of Strawberry cv. Chandler. *Annals of Horticulture*, 1(1): 45-48.
- Yadav, S., Shukla, H. S., & Ram, R. A. (2010). Studies on foliar application of NAA, GA3, Boric acid and Ca (NO₃)₂ on fruit retention, growth, yield and quality of Aonla (*Embllica officinalis* Gaertn.) cv. Banarasi. *Hort. J.*, 23(2): 64-67.

Table no. 1: Effect of foliar sprays of NAA, Zinc, and their interactions on Fruit at the pea stage, pit hardening stage, and ripening stage.

Parameter	Doses		Zinc % (B)								
	NAA ppm (A)	2022					2023				
		B ₀ Control	B ₁ 0.2	B ₂ 0.4	B ₃ 0.6	Mean A	B ₀ Control	B ₁ 0.2	B ₂ 0.4	B ₃ 0.6	Mean A
Fruit Count at second foliar spray at pea stage	A ₀ Control	35.533	36.427	37.770	38.590	37.080	38.463	39.277	40.800	41.160	39.925
	A ₁ 25	39.097	40.007	40.740	40.880	40.181	42.067	43.147	44.440	44.513	43.542
	A ₂ 50	44.170	44.997	45.817	45.110	45.023	47.270	48.387	49.197	48.730	48.396
	A ₃ 75	41.793	42.740	42.930	43.683	42.787	45.073	45.690	46.030	46.903	45.924
	Mean B	40.148	41.043	41.814	42.066		43.218	44.125	45.117	45.327	
	Factors	CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±			CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±		
	A	1.131	0.553	0.391			1.134	0.554	0.392		
B	1.131	0.553	0.391			1.134	0.554	0.392			
A X B	NS	1.105	0.782			NS	1.109	0.784			
Fruit Count at pit hardening stage	A ₀ Control	30.903	31.537	31.980	33.100	31.880	30.703	31.227	32.190	32.850	31.743
	A ₁ 25	33.137	33.737	34.850	34.770	34.123	33.377	33.637	34.740	34.733	34.122
	A ₂ 50	37.330	38.187	39.157	38.220	38.223	37.110	37.937	38.707	38.220	37.993
	A ₃ 75	35.213	36.240	36.590	37.333	36.344	35.213	35.940	36.290	36.933	36.094
	Mean B	34.146	34.925	35.644	35.856		34.101	34.685	35.482	35.684	
	Factors	CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±			CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±		
	A	1.145	0.559	0.396			1.139	0.557	0.394		
B	1.145	0.559	0.396			1.139	0.557	0.394			
A X B	NS	1.119	0.791			NS	1.114	0.788			
Fruit Count at Ripening stage	A ₀ Control	15.680	16.580	16.857	17.240	16.589	17.170	17.850	18.240	18.880	18.035
	A ₁ 25	17.850	18.020	18.340	18.873	18.271	19.070	19.340	20.953	21.140	20.126
	A ₂ 50	21.517	21.720	22.807	22.130	22.043	22.760	22.933	23.447	23.120	23.065
	A ₃ 75	19.957	20.057	20.353	21.287	20.413	21.457	21.647	21.920	22.330	21.838
	Mean B	18.751	19.094	19.589	19.883		20.114	20.443	21.140	21.368	
	Factors	CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±			CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±		
	A	0.263	0.128	0.091			0.498	0.244	0.172		
B	0.263	0.128	0.091			0.498	0.244	0.172			
A X B	NS	0.257	0.182			NS	0.487	0.344			

Table no. 2: Effect of foliar sprays of NAA, Zinc, and their interactions on fruit set per panicle, fruit cracking, and marketable yield.

Parameter	Doses NAA ppm (A)	Zinc % (B)									
		2022					2023				
		B ₀ Control	B ₁ 0.2	B ₂ 0.4	B ₃ 0.6	Mean A	B ₀ Control	B ₁ 0.2	B ₂ 0.4	B ₃ 0.6	Mean A
Fruit Set per panicle	A ₀ Control	212.503	215.417	219.680	225.540	218.285	214.513	217.387	220.380	224.590	219.218
	A ₁ 25	228.207	232.567	236.100	236.507	233.345	227.107	231.517	233.700	235.223	231.887
	A ₂ 50	250.430	253.727	258.907	256.330	254.848	251.500	255.327	259.417	257.400	255.911
	A ₃ 75	240.623	242.480	245.710	248.763	244.394	242.323	244.360	246.750	249.863	245.824
	Mean B	232.941	236.048	240.099	241.785		233.861	237.148	240.062	241.769	
	Factors	CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±			CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±		
	A	1.121	0.548	0.388			1.171	0.572	0.405		
	B	1.121	0.548	0.388			1.171	0.572	0.405		
	A X B	2.243	1.096	0.775			2.342	1.144	0.809		
Fruit Cracking	A ₀ Control	5.540	4.620	4.513	4.320	4.748	5.683	5.210	4.830	4.260	4.996
	A ₁ 25	3.967	3.840	3.770	3.520	3.774	4.077	3.873	3.660	3.447	3.764
	A ₂ 50	2.677	2.593	2.140	2.330	2.435	2.537	2.330	2.100	2.280	2.312
	A ₃ 75	3.410	3.280	3.170	2.763	3.156	3.223	3.107	2.980	2.770	3.020
	Mean B	3.898	3.583	3.398	3.233		3.880	3.630	3.393	3.189	
	Factors	CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±			CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±		
	A	0.080	0.039	0.027			0.075	0.037	0.026		
	B	0.080	0.039	0.027			0.075	0.037	0.026		
	A X B	0.159	0.078	0.055			0.151	0.074	0.052		
Marketable Yield per tree	A ₀ Control	46.013	48.727	51.570	54.060	50.093	48.353	50.477	52.980	54.250	51.515
	A ₁ 25	58.777	61.157	63.630	64.110	61.918	61.637	63.807	64.890	65.490	63.956
	A ₂ 50	71.500	73.957	78.347	76.430	75.058	74.670	75.777	78.297	77.040	76.446
	A ₃ 75	66.773	67.290	68.510	70.113	68.172	68.753	70.040	70.550	72.923	70.567
	Mean B	60.766	62.783	65.514	66.178		63.353	65.025	66.679	67.426	
	Factors	CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±			CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±		
	A	1.120	0.547	0.387			1.120	0.547	0.387		

	B	1.120	0.547	0.387		1.120	0.547	0.387			
	A X B	NS	1.095	0.774		NS	1.094	0.774			
Table no. 3: Effect of foliar sprays of NAA, Zinc, and their interactions on Fruit length, diameter, and weight.											
Parameter	Doses	Zinc % (B)									
		2022					2023				
	NAA ppm (A)	B ₀ Control	B ₁ 0.2	B ₂ 0.4	B ₃ 0.6	Mean A	B ₀ Control	B ₁ 0.2	B ₂ 0.4	B ₃ 0.6	Mean A
Fruit length	A ₀ Control	2.540	2.680	2.873	2.950	2.761	2.620	2.743	2.830	2.897	2.773
	A ₁ 25	3.083	3.180	3.253	3.280	3.199	2.947	2.980	3.053	3.073	3.013
	A ₂ 50	3.470	3.517	3.577	3.547	3.528	3.420	3.447	3.600	3.520	3.497
	A ₃ 75	3.333	3.350	3.410	3.440	3.383	3.087	3.110	3.183	3.330	3.178
	Mean B	3.107	3.182	3.278	3.304		3.018	3.070	3.167	3.205	
	Factors	CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±			CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±		
	A	0.076	0.037	0.026			0.065	0.032	0.023		
	B	0.076	0.037	0.026			0.065	0.032	0.023		
	A X B	NS	0.074	0.052			NS	0.064	0.045		
	Fruit Diameter	A ₀ Control	2.280	2.460	2.520	2.540	2.450	2.400	2.563	2.650	2.670
A ₁ 25		2.630	2.750	2.823	2.850	2.763	2.700	2.720	2.730	2.797	2.737
A ₂ 50		3.140	3.160	3.220	3.207	3.182	3.020	3.050	3.200	3.080	3.088
A ₃ 75		2.940	3.023	3.047	3.107	3.029	2.873	2.877	2.950	2.977	2.919
Mean B		2.748	2.848	2.903	2.926		2.748	2.803	2.883	2.881	
Factors		CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±			CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±		
A		0.068	0.033	0.023			0.061	0.030	0.021		
B		0.068	0.033	0.023			0.061	0.030	0.021		
A X B		NS	0.066	0.047			NS	0.060	0.042		
Fruit Weight		A ₀ Control	16.920	17.183	17.380	17.750	17.308	19.210	19.470	19.670	20.040
	A ₁ 25	17.960	18.260	18.460	18.780	18.365	20.250	20.550	20.750	21.070	20.655
	A ₂ 50	19.650	19.780	20.120	19.873	19.856	21.810	21.940	22.280	22.030	22.015
	A ₃ 75	18.960	19.223	19.247	19.480	19.228	21.120	21.380	21.410	21.640	21.388
	Mean B	18.373	18.612	18.802	18.971		20.598	20.835	21.028	21.195	
	Factors	CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±			CD at 5%	SE (d) ±	SE (m) ±		
	A	0.372	0.182	0.129			0.380	0.186	0.131		

B	0.372	0.182	0.129	0.380	0.186	0.131
A X B	NS	0.364	0.257	NS	0.371	0.263

UNDER PEER REVIEW

