

## Original Research Article

### **Evaluation of new fungicides against sheath blight of rice under field conditions**

#### **Evaluation/effect of chemical fungicides against sheath blight disease of rice in India** **Abstract**

Sheath blight of rice caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn is emerging as a very destructive disease-causing heavy yield losses. The present study was conducted at Department of Plant pathology, JNKVV, College of Agriculture, Rewa, (M.P.). The experiment was laid in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. Seven fungicide namely Flusilazole 12.5%+carbendazim 25% SC (Luster), Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w+difenoconazole 11.4 w/w SC (Amister), Azoxystrobin 11%+Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC (Custodia), Tricyclazole 18%+ mancozeb 62%WP (Merger), Zineb 68% + hexaconazole 4% WP (Avatar), Trifloxystrobin 25%+Tebuconazole 50%WG (Nativo), Mancozeb 50%+carbendazim 25%WS (Sprint), were tested for their efficacy to manage the sheath blight of rice. All the fungicide were found to reduce the sheath blight incidence significantly. Relative lesion height (RLH %) ranging from 12.3 to 43.0% with 30.3 to 71.4% reduction in RLH and Percent disease index (PDI%) ranging from 11.16 to 34.85% with 53.1 to 67.9% reduction in PDI were recorded in different treatments. Azoxystrobin 11%+Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC (Custodia) was found best to reduce the RLH 71.4%. Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w+difenoconazole 11.4 w/w SC (Amister) and Trifloxystrobin 25%+Tebuconazole 50%WG (Nativo) were equally effective to reduce the RLH 64.9% and 60.7%, respectively. Significant increase in plant height ranging from 109.8 to 116.7 cm, panicle per plant ranging from 9.4 to 12.9, panicle length ranging from 22.4 to 26.7 cm, grains per plant ranging from 253.0 to 371.7 and 1000 grains weight ranging from 20.80 to 24.88 gm were also recorded. Grain yield (kg/ha) ranging from 2872.0 to 3761 kg/ha was recorded in the different treatments. An increase in grain yield ranging from 11.5 to 31.0% was recorded with maximum Azoxystrobin 11%+Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC (Custodia) followed by Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w+difenoconazole 11.4 w/w SC (Amister) and Trifloxystrobin 25%+Tebuconazole 50%WG (Nativo) respectively.

**Key words:** Rice, sheath blight, fungicide and PDI

**Commented [A1]:** Title should be modified

**Commented [A2]:** May be modified title since those evaluated chemicals were evaluated somewhere over the world. For example see the manuscript article in the same country in India on sheath blight disease of rice in coastal zone of Odisha.

**Commented [A3]:** Deleted

**Commented [A4]:** Objectives of the experiment is/are missed in this sessions. Try to incorporate it.

**Commented [A5]:** No need of explaining the name of chemicals both in the form of trade name and common name. I think common name for each chemical is enough. Please try to delete trade name of chemicals mentioned in whole sessions of the manuscript.

**Commented [A6]:** Deleted

**Commented [A7]:** Deleted

**Commented [A8]:** Deleted

**Commented [A9]:** Deleted

**Commented [A10]:** Deleted

**Commented [A11]:** Deleted

**Commented [A12]:** Replaced by disease

**Commented [A13]:** Conclusion session is missed

**Commented [A14]:** Please try to summarize and explain only the main findings briefly. The statements are ambiguous and not clear

**Commented [A15]:** Should be modified again. Words found in the Key words should not include or not found in the title of the manuscript article or not the same. For example, Rice, sheath blight, fungicide words were present in the title of this manuscript. And also, words found in the Key words repeatedly found in the manuscript and indicators of some ideas when someone wants to refer the manuscript.

## Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is a graminaceous crop and consider as one of the most important staple food crop grown *kharif* in India and contributes 40% of total food grain production. Productivity of rice can be increased by adopting the hybrid varieties, nutrient management and biotic stresses. In India, area 46.38 Mha with production 130.29 Mt and productivity 2809 kg/ha (Anon, 2022). Sheath blight disease of rice caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* (teleomorph: *Thanatephorus cucumeris*), is emerging as a very destructive disease causing heavy yield losses (Suthin *et al.*, 2018). It is soil-borne fungal disease. The natural infection of the sheath blight disease occurs at the seedling, tillering and booting stages of rice. Infection usually starts near the water line of rice plants in paddy fields. Lesions develop upward to the upper leaf sheaths and leaf blades. The centre of lesion become grayish white with brown margin, later several spots coalesce and show blight symptoms (Ou, 1985). When humidity exceeds 95 % and temperature ranges from 29 to 32°C, infection spreads rapidly which appear on plant parts, including leaf blades, causing irregularly shaped lesions with brown borders as bands. This symptom generally referred as "banded blight". *Rhizoctonia solani* possess pale to dark brown rapidly growing mycelium with septum in the branch near the point of origin. Fungicide application is the most common approach among the farmers for the management of sheath blight throughout the world. Use of fungicide to control diseases causes several adverse effects *i.e.* development of resistance in the pathogen, residual toxicity, pollution to the environment etc. The resistant or tolerant sources to sheath blight are not available and biological controls are still not successful at field level. However it is necessary to screen the new fungicidal molecules or products to manage the disease effectively to avoid resistance developments in pathogen and minimize the fungicidal residues for ecological sustainability. The present study was undertaken to evaluate the different fungicides for efficient control of sheath blight of rice.

## Materials and Methods

Details of the fungicides used for the study should be mentioned in table form. To test the evaluation of new fungicide the twenty one day old seedlings of the PS-4 variety were transplanted in a net plot size of 3 m x 2 m with a spacing of 1m between replication to replication. Row to row and plant to plant spacing was 25 cm

**Commented [A16]:** Make it the Considers not consider grammatical wrong

**Commented [A17]:** Wrong spelling not matched with the name mentioned in the reference list 'Anonymous'

**Commented [A18]:** Make it add the word 'disease'

**Commented [A19]:** How much yield loss due to the causing of this disease in the region/study areas? The importance of the disease should be mentioned clear.

**Commented [A20]:** This is not your rationale because now you are going to recommend fungicides not biological control so that further other fungicides screening is your objective. Please try to modify your statements of problems again.

**Commented [A21]:** Ambiguous statement try to make it clear

**Commented [A22]:** Should be added in table form including the common, trade and mode of fungicides with active ingredients

**Commented [A23]:** Where? When?

and 15 cm. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. Fertilizer was applied @ N 80 P 60 K 40 Kg/ha. Fifty percent of N and total P were given as basal dose and remaining N applied in two split doses as top dressing at tillering and panicle initiation stage. There were 8 treatments i.e T1- Flusilazole 12.5% + carbendazim 25% SC (Luster), T2 Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w + difenoconazole 11.4 w/w SC (Amister), T3- Azoxystrobin 11%+Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC (Custodia) , T4- Tricyclazole 18% + mancozeb 62% WP (Merger), T5- Zineb 68% + hexaconazole 4% WP (Avatar), T6- Trifloxystrobin 25%+ Tebuconazole 50%WG (Nativo), T7- Mancozeb 50%+carbendazim 25%WS (Sprint), T8 - Control (Untreated). In the field conditions, sclerotia from 7-9 days old culture and rice stem bits (*Rhizoctonia solani* mycelium profusely grown) were used for inoculation of the rice plants at the maximum tillering stage. The primary tillers of each hill were tagged and inoculated gently by punching and pushing single sclerotia or rice stem bit into the sheath just 1 ½ to 2 ½ cm above the water surface level as per the position of the sheath. After 6 days of inoculation, the first spray of each treatments was done, on 10<sup>th</sup> day after first spray second spray was repeated. Disease severity of sheath blight was recorded at 21 days of inoculation of the disease crop in 0-9 scales by following the procedure of Standard Evaluation System of International Rice Testing Programme.

Commented [A24]: added

Commented [A25]: No need of listing treatment as T1, T2... try to delete in the whole sessions including in the tables.

Observations on vertical disease spread in terms of relative lesion height (RLH %) and percent disease index (PDI%) were recorded at dough stage. Yield attributing parameters namely plant height (cm), number of tillers per plant<sup>1</sup>, No. of panicle per plant, panicle length (cm) were recorded at dough stage and Number of grain per plant, 1000 grain weight (g) and grain yield (kg plot<sup>1</sup> ) was recorded at maturity and converted into kg/ha .

Commented [A26]: Added

Commented [A27]: Added

Commented [A28]: Added

Commented [A29]: Deleted

Commented [A30]: It needs reference

Commented [A31]: Added

Commented [A32]: Added

Commented [A33]: Deleted

Commented [A34]: Added

Commented [A35]: Added

The percent disease index (PDI) was calculated by adopting the formula:

Commented [A36]: Reference should be added

$$PDI = \frac{\text{Sum of numerical rating}}{\text{Total numbers of plants observed} \times \text{maximum disease scale}} \times 100$$

The percent disease index (%) value will be transformed by adopting the angular transformation table (Snedecor and Cochran 1967).

Commented [A37]: Added

$$\% \text{ increase in yield} = \frac{\text{Yield of treatd plot} - \text{Yield in control}}{\text{Yield in control}} \times 100$$

## Data analysis

What type of software you have used? Mean comparison?

## Results

An experiment was laid out in the field conditions during 2018-2019 at experimental field of College of Agriculture Rewa to control sheath blight disease of rice by application of new fungicides *i.e*, Flusilazole12.5%+carbendazim 25% SC (Luster),Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w+ difenoconazole 11.4 w/w SC (Amister), Azoxystrobin 11%+Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC (Custodia), Tricyclazole 18%+mancozeb 62%WP (Merger), Zineb 68% + hexaconazole 4% WP (Avatar),Trifloxystrobin 25%+ Tebuconazole 50%WG (Nativo), Mancozeb 50%+carbendazim 25%WS (Sprint), were used under the study.

Data on Percent disease index (PDI %) and percent decrease over control in PDI are presented in table-1. Percent disease index PDI varied from 11.16 to 34.85% was significant in different treatments. Minimum PDI was recorded in the treatment T3 ( Azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC) (Custodia) was found highly effective in reducing the disease severity of sheath blight 11.16% and 67.9% decrease of the disease over control treatment was at par with T2 (Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w + difenoconazole 11.4% w/w SC (Amistar Top 325 SC) 11.90% reducing the disease severity and 65.8% decrease disease over control, T6 (Trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50% WG ) ( Nativo 75WG), 13.38 % reducing the disease severity and 61.6 % decrease the disease over contro,T5 (Zineb 68% + hexaconazole 4% WP ( Avatar) 14.12% reducing the disease severity and 59.4% decrease the disease over control treatment followed by T4 (Tricyclazole 18% + mancozeb 62% WP ( Merger) 14.89% reducing the disease severity and 57.2% decrease the disease over control, T1 (Flusilazole12.5%+carbendazim 25% SC) (Luster) 15.60 % reducing disease severity and 55.2% decrease the disease over control, T7 Mancozeb 50%+carbendazim 25%WS (Sprint)16.34 % reducing the disease severity and recorded 53.1% decrease disease over control ( 34.85%).

Data on RLH (%) and percent decrease over control in RLH are presented in table-1. Relative lesion height varied from 12.3 to 43% was significant in different treatments. Minimum RLH(12.3%) was recorded in the treatment T3 ( Azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC) (Custodia) and closely followed by T<sub>2</sub> (Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w + difenoconazole 11.4% w/w SC

Commented [A38]: Missed

Commented [A39]: Missed part

Commented [A40]: Following style of Journal, be combine results and discussion in one session, no need of separation of them.

Commented [A41]: This paragraph should be moved to the 'Materials and methods' session.

Commented [A42]: Deleted

Commented [A43]: Deleted

Commented [A44]: Added

Commented [A45]: Deleted

(Amistar Top 325 SC) and T<sub>6</sub> (Trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50% WG ) ( Nativo 75WG). These treatments were statistically at par. Rest of the treatments were also exhibited significantly effective. Percent decrease over control in RLH ranged 30.3 to 71.4% in different treatments being maximum in (T<sub>3</sub>) followed by (T<sub>2</sub>) and (T<sub>6</sub>).

Data of plant height (cm) are presented in table-2. Plant height ranging from 109.8 to 116.7 cm was recorded. Significant difference in plant height was observed in different treatments. Maximum plant height(116.7) was recorded in T<sub>3</sub> ( Azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC) (Custodia) and closely followed by T<sub>2</sub> (Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w + difenoconazole 11.4% w/w SC (Amistar Top 325 SC)113.0cm and T<sub>6</sub> (Trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50% WG ) (Nativo 75WG) (112.8cm).

Data of tiller per plant are presented in table-2. Tiller per plant ranging from 10.0 to 13.0 was recorded. Non-significant difference was observed in different treatments. Maximum tiller per plant (13.0) was recorded in T<sub>3</sub> ( Azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC) (Custodia) and closely followed by T<sub>2</sub> (Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w + difenoconazole 11.4% w/w SC (Amistar Top 325 SC) (11.5) and T<sub>6</sub> (Trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50% WG ) ( Nativo 75WG) (11.4)

Data of panicle per plant are presented in table-2. Panicle per plant ranging from 9.4 to 12.9 was recorded. Significant difference panicle per plant was observed in different treatments. Maximum Panicle per plant (12.9) was recorded in T<sub>3</sub> ( Azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC) (Custodia) and closely followed by T<sub>2</sub> (Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w + difenoconazole 11.4% w/w SC (Amistar Top 325 SC) (11.2) and T<sub>6</sub> (Trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50% WG ) ( Nativo 75WG) (10.8).

Data of Panicle length (cm) are presented in table-2. Panicle length ranging from 22.4 to 26.7 cm was recorded. Significant difference in Panicle length was observed in different treatments. Maximum Panicle length (26.7cm) was recorded in T<sub>3</sub> ( Azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC) (Custodia) and closely followed by T<sub>2</sub> (Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w + difenoconazole 11.4% w/w SC (Amistar Top 325 SC) (25cm ) and T<sub>6</sub> (Trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50% WG ) ( Nativo 75WG) (24.7cm).

Commented [A46]: Added

Commented [A47]: Added

Commented [A48]: Added

Commented [A49]: Added

Commented [A50]: Added

Commented [A51]: Added

Commented [A52]: Added

Commented [A53]: Added

Data of grains per plant are presented in table-2. Grains per plant ranging from 253.0 to 371.7 were recorded. Significant difference Grains per plant was observed in different treatments. Maximum Grains per plant (371.7) was recorded in T<sub>3</sub> ( Azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC) (Custodia) and closely followed by T<sub>2</sub> (Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w + difenoconazole 11.4% w/w SC (Amistar Top 325 SC) (336) and T<sub>6</sub> (Trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50% WG ) ( Nativo 75WG) (365).

Commented [A54]: Added

Data of 1000 grain weight (gm) are presented in table-2. 1000 grain weight ranging from 20.80 to 24.88 gm was recorded. Significant difference in was 1000 grain weight (gm) observed in different treatments. Maximum 1000 grain weight (24.88gm) was recorded in T<sub>3</sub> ( Azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC) (Custodia) and closely followed by T<sub>2</sub> (Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w + difenoconazole 11.4% w/w SC (Amistar Top 325 SC) (24.55gm) and T<sub>6</sub> (Trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50% WG) (Nativo 75WG) ( 24.25gm).

Data of grain yield in different treatments of fungicide control are presented in table-3. Grain yield ranging from 2872.0 to 3761.0 kg/ha was recorded. Significant difference in grain yield was observed in different treatments. Maximum grain yield (3761kg/ha) was recorded in T<sub>3</sub> ( Azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC) (Custodia) and which was 31.0% higher than the control. The next effective treatment was T<sub>2</sub> (Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w + difenoconazole 11.4% w/w SC (Amistar Top 325 SC) (3680kg/ha) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (Trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50% WG) (Nativo 75WG) (3635.0kg/ha) over untreated check.

## Discussion

In the present study, seven fungicides namely Flusilazole 12.5%+ carbendazim 25% SC (Luster), Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w+difenoconazole 11.4 w/w SC (Amister), Azoxystrobin 11%+Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC (Custodia), Tricyclazole 18%+ mancozeb 62%WP (Merger), Zineb 68% + hexaconazole 4% WP (Avatar),Trifloxystrobin 25%+Tebuconazole 50%WG (Nativo), Mancozeb 50%+carbendazim 25%WS (Sprint), were tested for their efficacy to manage the sheath blight disease incidence in rice. All the fungicides were found to reduce the sheath blight disease incidence significantly. Percent disease index ranging from 11.16 to 34.85% with 53.1 to 67.9% reduction in PDI were recorded in different

Commented [A55]: Added

Commented [A56]: Deleted

Commented [A57]: Not necessary in this session see again

Commented [A58]: Added

Commented [A59]: Deleted

treatments. Azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC) (Custodia) was found the best to reduce the PDI 67.9% followed by T<sub>2</sub> (Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w + difenoconazole 11.4% w/w SC (Amistar Top 325 SC) and T<sub>6</sub> (Trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50% WG) (Nativo 75WG) were equally effective to reduce the PDI 65.8 and 61.6%, respectively. RLH ranging from 12.30 to 43.0% with 30.3 to 71.4% reduction in RLH were recorded in different treatments. Azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC) (Custodia) was found best to reduce the RLH 71.4% followed by T<sub>2</sub> (Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w + difenoconazole 11.4% w/w SC (Amistar Top 325 SC) and T<sub>6</sub> (Trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50% WG) (Nativo 75WG) were equally effective to reduce the RLH 64.9 and 60.7%, respectively. Significant increase in plant height ranging from 110.2 to 116.7 cm, panicle per plant ranging from 9.4 to 12.9, panicle length ranging from 22.4 to 26.7 cm, grains per plant ranging from 253.0 to 371.0 and 1000 grain weight (gm) ranging from 20.80 to 24.88 gm were also recorded. Tillers per plant ranged from 10.0 to 13.0 in different treatments, but statistically non-significant. Grain yield (kg/ha) ranging from 2872.0 kg/ha to 3780.0 kg/ha was recorded in the different treatments. An increase in grain yield ranging from 11.5 to 31.4.0% was recorded with maximum in Azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC) (Custodia) followed by T<sub>2</sub> (Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w + difenoconazole 11.4% w/w SC (Amistar Top 325 SC) and T<sub>6</sub> (Trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50% WG) (Nativo 75WG) respectively. The similar findings were also reported by Akter *et al.* (2001), Bag (2009), Pramesh *et al.* (2016), Neha *et al.* (2017), Laxmikant *et al.* (2017), Pramesh *et al.* (2017), Swamy *et al.*(2009), Bhuvaneswari and Raju (2012), Kumar *et al.* (2014) and Hegde (2015) confirmed the present findings.

#### CONCLUSION:

It can be concluded that among Among the tested fungicides, Azoxystrobin 11%+Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC (Custodia) was found highly effective for controlling sheath blight disease of rice.

#### Acknowledgement

#### Competing interests

#### References

Anonymous (2022). Agriculture Statistics at a glance, Ministry of Agriculture, 28pp.

Commented [A60]: Deleted

Commented [A61]: Added

Commented [A62]: Explain areas of study as to recommend this fungicide to the other similar agro-ecology of the country.

Commented [A63]: Missed sessions. Thus, authors are requested to add those sessions (following Journal style)

Commented [A64]: Reference should be consistency. The style of written references didn't match the style of the Journal's format. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets. Thus, the authors should be see again the reference style of the journal.

- Akter S, MS Mian and MAT. Mia (2001). Chemical control of sheath blight disease (*R. solani*) of rice. *Bangladesh Journal Plant Pathology*, Gazipur, Bangladesh. 17: 35-38.
- Bag MK. (2009). Efficacy of a new fungicide 'Trifloxystrobin 25% + Tebuconazole 50 75WG against sheath blight (*Rhizoctonia solani* Kühn) of rice, *Journal Crop and Weed*, 5(1): 221-223.
- Bhuvanewari V and Raju KS. (2012). Efficacy of New Combination Fungicide against Rice Sheath Blight Caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* (Kuhn). *Journal of Rice Research*. 5 (1-2): 57-61.
- Hegde GM. (2015). Efficacy Of Tebuconazole 25%Wg Against Blast And Sheath Blight Diseases Of Rice In Central Western Ghath Blight Diseases Of Rice In Central Western Ghath Of Utter Pradesh Distric. An Inter. quarterly Journal life science volume 10(4): 1771-1775.
- Kumar P and Veerabhadraswamy AL. (2014). Appraise a combination of fungicides against blast and sheath blight disease of paddy (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Experiment Biology and Agriculture Science* (92): 560-565.
- Laxmikant R. Kumar M and Kumar S. (2017). Evaluation of effectiveness of fungicide sheath blight of Paddy. Krishi Vigyan Kenndra, buland shahr -203 001 (U.P) Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Rampur -244 901 ( U.P.) India.
- Neha KV, Naveenkumar R, Balabaskar P and Manikandan P. (2017). Evaluation of fungicides against sheath blight of rice caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* (Kuhn.). *Oryza*. 54(4) 470-476.
- Pramesh D, Maruti KM, Muniraju K, Mallikarjun, Guruprasad GS, Mahantashivayogayya K, Reddy BGM, Gowdar SB, And Chethana BS. (2016). Bio-efficacy of a combination fungicide against blast and sheath blight diseases of Paddy, *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 14(4): 1-8.
- Pramesh D, Maruti SA, Muniraju KM and Guruprasad GS. (2017). A New Combination Fungicide Active Ingredients for Management of Sheath Blight Disease of Paddy. Department of Plant Pathology, University of Agriculture Science, Raichur, Karnataka, India. 8(5): 1-7.
- Ou, S.H. (1985). *Rice Diseases*. Commonwealth mycological Institute, Kew, England.368.
- Suthin, R. T.; Hane Graff, K. and Ann Suji, H. (2018). Evaluation of various Marine products against *Rhizoctonia solani* under *in vitro* condition. *Afri. J. Microbio. Res.*, 12(3): 46 - 51.
- Snedecor George W and Cochran William G. (1967). *Statistical methods*. Oxford and IBH. Publishing Co. 593.
- Swamy HN, Syed Sannaula, Kumar MD. (2009). Screening of new fungicides against rice sheath blight disease. *Karnataka Journal Agriculture Science*, Vol.22 (2):448-449.

**Table -1. Effect of fungicides on percent disease index of sheath blight of rice**

	Treatments	Conc.	PDI (%)	% Decrease Over Control	RLH (%)	% Decrease Over Control
T1	Flusilazole 12.5% + carbendazim 25% SC (Lusture 37.5 SE)	1 ml/l	15.60 (23.23)	55.2	25.2 (30.10)	41.3
T2	Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w + difenoconazole 11.4% w/w SC (Amistar Top 325 SC)	1 ml/l	11.90 (20.14)	65.8	15.1 (22.81)	64.9
T3	Azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC (Custodia)	1.5 ml/l	11.16 (19.42)	67.9	12.3 (20.50)	71.4
T4	Tricyclazole 18% + mancozeb 62% WP (Merger)	2.5 g/l	14.89 (22.66)	57.2	23.8 (29.19)	44.5
T5	Zineb 68% + hexaconazole 4% WP (Avatar)	2.5g/l	14.12 (22.03)	59.4	20.8 (27.12)	51.6
T6	Trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50% WG (Nativo 75WG)	0.4 g/l	13.38 (21.44)	61.6	16.9 (24.16)	60.7
T7	Mencozeb 50% + carbendazim 25% WS (Sprint)	2.5g/l	16.34 (23.82)	53.1	30.0 (33.14)	30.3
T8	Control		34.85 (36.16)		43.0 (40.94)	0.0
	<b>CD (5%)</b>		<b>1.652</b>		<b>4.121</b>	

**Commented [A65]:** Disease incidence should be mentioned in this table since it was missed.

**Commented [A66]:** Deleted

**Commented [A67]:** Not necessary saying T1, T2, T3... so deleted

**Commented [A68]:** Deleted

**Commented [A69]:** Deleted

**Commented [A70]:** Deleted

**Commented [A71]:** Deleted

**Commented [A72]:** Deleted

**Commented [A73]:** Deleted

**Commented [A74]:** Deleted

**Commented [A75]:** What does mean by CD?

**Table -2. Effect of fungicides on yield components of rice**

	Fungicide	Conc.	Plant height (cm)	tiller per plant	Panicles per plant	Panicle length (cm)	Grains per plant	1000 grain weight (gm)
<b>T1</b>	Flusilazole 12.5% + carbendazim 25% SC (Lusture 37.5 SE)	1 ml/l	111.1	10.3	9.7	24.2	340.0	23.00
<b>T2</b>	Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w + difenoconazole 11.4% w/w SC (Amistar Top 325 SC)	1 ml/l	113.0	11.5	11.2	25.0	366.0	24.55
<b>T3</b>	Azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC (Custodia)	1.5 ml/l	116.7	13.0	12.9	26.7	371.7	24.88
<b>T4</b>	Tricyclazole 18% + mancozeb 62% WP (Merger)	2.5 g/l	111.4	10.5	10.3	24.5	348.0	23.50
<b>T5</b>	Zineb 68% + hexaconazole 4% WP (Avatar)	2.5g/l	112.3	10.9	10.5	24.7	360.7	23.75
<b>T6</b>	Trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50% WG (Nativo 75WG)	0.4 g/l	112.8	11.4	10.8	24.7	365.0	24.25
<b>T7</b>	Mencozeb 50% + carbendazim 25% WS (Sprint)	2.5g/l	110.2	10.1	9.7	22.7	333.7	22.66
<b>T8</b>	Control	-	109.8	10.0	9.4	22.4	253.0	20.80
	MEAN		112.2	11.0	10.9	24.7	342.3	16.7
	<b>CD (5%)</b>		<b>2.16</b>	<b>2.05</b>	<b>1.80</b>	<b>1.91</b>	<b>9.73</b>	<b>1.08</b>

Commented [A76]: Deleted

Commented [A77]: Deleted

**Table -3. Effect of fungicides on grain yield of rice**

	<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Conc.</b>	<b>Grain yield (kg/ha)</b>	<b>% Increase Over Control</b>
<b>T1</b>	Flusilazole 12.5% + carbendazim 25% SC (Lusture 37.5 SE)	1 ml/l	3293.3	14.7
<b>T2</b>	Azoxystrobin 18.2% w/w + difenoconazole 11.4% w/w SC (Amistar Top 325 SC)	1 ml/l	3680.0	28.1
<b>T3</b>	Azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC (Custodia)	1.5 ml/l	3761.0	31.0
<b>T4</b>	Tricyclazole 18% + mancozeb 62% WP (Merger)	2.5 g/l	3431.7	19.5
<b>T5</b>	Zineb 68% + hexaconazole 4% WP (Avatar)	2.5g/l	3446.7	20.0
<b>T6</b>	Trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50% WG ( Nativo 75WG)	0.4 g/l	3635.0	26.6
<b>T7</b>	Mencozeb 50% + carbendazim 25% WS (Sprint)	2.5g/l	3203.3	11.5
<b>T8</b>	Control		2872.0	0.0
	MEAN		3415.4	
	<b>CD (5%)</b>		<b>311.01</b>	

UNDER PEER REVIEW

