

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_112490
Title of the Manuscript:	AN OVERVIEW OF IOT ARCHITECTURE SECURITY ISSUES AND COUNTERMEASURES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Introduction is not clear. Authors may contribute more towards this. Contributes to the literature in the second last paragraph of the introduction clearly.</p> <p>2. Thorough proofreading is recommended.</p> <p>3. A few of the figures are taken from the sources and are not cited properly, either they may be cited properly with permissions or may be removed/ redrawn.</p> <p>4. The conclusion is not clear and needs revision and clarity and alignment with the abstract and title.</p> <p>References:</p> <p>1. Your references are not listed in good style, as citation style is different from one paper to other.</p> <p>2. some of your references are not complete please check.</p> <p>3. Some citations (references) created in wrong manner (Please follow journal's criteria).</p> <p>Major Concerns:</p> <p>1. In the introduction, the scientific problem of the existing evaluation is missing. There should initially be discussed the actual problem and then the research motivation.</p> <p>2. Please highlight major contributions of this work in this current version, otherwise the current form shows weak/lack of novelty.</p> <p>3. Please refine the language of this paper, such as avoid we, they, our, and other related words in this paper.</p> <p>4. Please improve the portion of problem description and problem formulation of the proposed work. Cannot find novelty in the current form.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Minor Changes are Required.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Minor Changes are Required.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdullah Ayub Khan
Department, University & Country	Benazir Bhutto Shaheed University, Pakistan