

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_112028
Title of the Manuscript:	PHENOTYPIC CHARACTERIZATION AND IN VITRO SUSCEPTIBILITY TESTING OF FUNGI ISOLATED FROM MADIGA BREAD (LOCAL STAPLE FOOD) IN YENAGOA METROPOLIS, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Manuscript is written as it is suggested in author's guidelines. The research is scientifically motivated and results are useful</p> <p>2-The title is clear and adequate to the contents of the article.</p> <p>3-The abstract provides sufficient information: It clearly summarizes objects, methods and results</p> <p>4-The general organization is adequate: Introduction respect these three parts: The context, the reason for writing and the contribution of the report. The research methods are reported in full. Results presented in a logical sequence. Figures and Tables are inserted into their place on the article and placed in numerical order in the text.</p> <p>5- Manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6-References are not sufficient: In my opinion, references must be more developed. The study is not placed in the context of other local and international works reported in the literature.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bousmaha Latifa
Department, University & Country	Ibn Tofail University, Morocco