

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_113045
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECTIVENESS OF RUBEDA (REGIONAL LEARNING SPACE) 3T MULTIMEDIA APPLICATION IN IMPROVING DIGITAL SKILLS OF THE WARMON KOKODA VILLAGE COMMUNITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript addresses a significant issue related to digital literacy in a region with limited internet access. It highlights the challenges faced by the community and proposes a solution through the RUBEDA 3T multimedia application. However, the significance could be further emphasized by discussing potential broader implications or applications beyond the specific community studied.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable as it accurately reflects the content of the manuscript. No suggested changes.</p> <p>3. The abstract provides a good overview of the research, including the background, purpose, methodology, results, and conclusion. However, consider adding a brief sentence summarizing the key findings to enhance comprehensiveness.</p> <p>4. The subsections and overall structure are generally appropriate. However, consider providing more clarity in the introduction about the structure of the paper, indicating the sections where each aspect will be discussed (e.g., RUBEDA 3T development, research methodology, results, and conclusion).</p> <p>5. The manuscript appears scientifically correct, but there are areas where additional clarification or elaboration could enhance understanding. For example, providing more details on the RUBEDA 3T multimedia application's development process and the specific digital skills addressed.</p> <p>6. The references seem appropriate for the subject matter. However, consider adding more recent sources, especially for the digital literacy landscape and initiatives in Indonesia. Ensure that the references are consistently formatted according to the chosen citation style.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The overall language is acceptable, but some sentences could be clarified for better readability.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Consider providing a brief explanation or definition for the acronym "RUBEDA" upon its first mention to aid reader understanding.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> In the "METHOD" section, consider providing more details on the development process of the RUBEDA 3T application, including key features and functionalities.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Add a sentence or two in the introduction to provide a brief overview of the RUBEDA 3T application and how it addresses the challenges faced by the community.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Check for consistency in the usage of terminology throughout the manuscript. For example, "3T area" is mentioned in the abstract, but it might be helpful to define it or use the full term in the introduction.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Ensure that the figures and tables are appropriately referenced and explained in the text.</p> <p>Could be add some more additions in the conclusion part</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vijesh P.V</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rajagiri College of Social Sciences, India</b>