

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_113045</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE EFFECTIVENESS OF RUBEDA (REGIONAL LEARNING SPACE) 3T MULTIMEDIA APPLICATION IN IMPROVING DIGITAL SKILLS OF THE WARMON KOKODA VILLAGE COMMUNITY</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes the manuscript important for scientific community because:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <b>It has identified research problem to be addressed.</b></li> <li>ii. <b>Well structured</b></li> <li>iii. <b>Adhered to APA referencing style.</b></li> </ul> <p>2. <b>The title is suitable for the manuscript since it has all the variables i.e. independent and dependent variables.</b> -The titles twenty words which is recommendable for a manuscript.</p> <p>3. <b>The abstract of the manuscript is generally good. However, it can be improved by:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Including the research methodology.</li> <li>b) Including target population</li> <li>c) Including the sampling techniques.</li> <li>d) The abstract should include the findings as per the objectives</li> <li>e) The results and testing of the hypothesis at a level of 0.05.</li> <li>f) Involving the discussion in summary</li> <li>g) It should include the recommendations of the study.</li> </ul> <p>4. <b>A. Introduction</b> The introduction is well done because it has very recent citations e.g. 2021, 2023, 2020, 2022 which is highly recommendable. However, it can be improved by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <b>Organizing it from global, regional and local studies perspective.</b></li> <li>ii. <b>Each study should include the gap filled by the study hence bringing in new knowledge.</b></li> <li>iii. <b>The introduction should include the objective of the study.</b></li> <li>iv. <b>It should involve the hypothesis or the research questions.</b></li> </ul> <p><b>B. Method</b> The method is well done. It can be improved through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <b>Including the sampling and the sample size.</b></li> <li>ii. <b>Target population.</b></li> </ul> <p>5. <b>The manuscript is scientifically correct because:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <b>The topic is researchable.</b></li> <li>ii. <b>The problem of the study is well stated.</b></li> <li>iii. <b>The variables are well articulated.</b></li> </ul> <p>6. <b>The references are sufficient and recent enough I congratulate the researcher.</b> However, the researcher can add more references about five.</p> <p>7. <b>Results and discussion are well articulated.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <b>The tables follow the APA referencing styles 7<sup>th</sup> edition.</b></li> <li>ii. <b>I suggest that the results and discussion should be as per the objectives.</b></li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language is good and suitable for scholarly communications. It recommend it.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is good. After the correction of the above correction.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jeremiah Mulinge Kawinzi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>South Eastern Kenya University, Kenya</b>