

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_111084
Title of the Manuscript:	Cranial vault metastasis revealing a prostate cancer
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript will significantly contribute to knowledge</p> <p>No, i will suggest –CRANIAL VAULT METASTASIS: AN UNUSUAL MANIFESTATATION OF PROSTATE CANCER</p> <p>THE ABSTRACT IS GOOD, however the author needs to mention the type of surgical intervention the patient had.(li) the author should write MRI AND CT in full</p> <p>Yes, its ok. However the author needs to rewrite the DISCUSSION aspect,</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>References are enough for a case report, however reference 4 and 5 are too old</p> <p>The author will need to move the entire part of the discussion to the introduction aspect and re write a fresh discussion. Its a very interesting case due to its rarity. The author should do a thorough literature search for similar works The author should discuss the case on the following factors-age, clinical presentation, diagnosis, intervention, prognosis and follow-up in comparison with previous similar works and international best practice</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, ok</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author will need to move the entire part of the discussion to the introduction aspect and re write a fresh discussion. Its a very interesting case due to its rarity. The author should do a thorough literature search for similar works The author should discuss the case on the following factors-age, clinical presentation, diagnosis, intervention, prognosis and follow-up in comparison with previous similar works and international best practice</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Idowu Najeem Adedamola
Department, University & Country	Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria