

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_111084
Title of the Manuscript:	Cranial vault metastasis revealing a prostate cancer
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. In the manuscript is presented a case report about a skull vault metastatic lesion revealing prostate cancer. It is of interest to the scientific community because it emphasizes the importance to investigate a hidden prostate cancer at any case of skull swelling in males aged 60 or above.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is accordingly with the requirements for case reports.</p> <p>5. Yes, from my point of view, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Unfortunately, the references are not recent so I strongly suggest to add new references, especially from the last 5 years. Taking into account that the most recent reference is from 2011, I believe that the list of references must be updated. Also, I recommend to read again the general guidelines for authors and to respect the requirements for the reference style.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, it is.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	I made all the comments directly on the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexandru Diana Mihaela
Department, University & Country	University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania