

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_111103
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical, Mineral, and Proximate Characterization of Seed and Pod of Aframomum melegueta (Aligator Pepper)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes it is but it needs a major revision.</p> <p>Yes it is but the authority must be written after the botanical name of the plant.</p> <p>Yes but needs minor revision. Yes they are.</p> <p>Yes it is.</p> <p>More recent references are needed for discussion of the results.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Revision is needed.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The Statistical Analysis was not written at the Materials and Method section. The means of the values were not evaluated, I suggest Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). The Results and Discussion section was not properly written. The physiological functions of the phytochemicals should be written at the Discussion and the results should be discussed properly. The tables were supposed to be analyzed before inserting them and not after.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chinelo Anthonia Ezeabara
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria