

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_111157
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of Learning Leadership Competency Model, Management Leadership and Principal Decision-Making Ability on Pedagogical Competency and Teacher Performance
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This is a well-written paper that could contribute to scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title seems to be too long and I suggest that it should be shortened to make the independent and dependent variables distinct. I propose this alternative title: The Influence of Learning Leadership Competency Model on Teacher Performance. The independent variable could be unpacked in the introduction and literature review sections to include aspects of Management Leadership and Principal Decision-Making Ability. I further suggest that a single dependent variable- teacher performance should be considered instead of than two (Pedagogical Competency and Teacher Performance) as expressed in the title. The indicators of teacher performance could also be explored as aspects of dependent variable.</p> <p>3. The abstract should be reviewed to capture key components such as the purpose of the study, methodology, data collection instruments, study population and data analysis technique. The study main findings, conclusion and recommendations should come out clearly.</p> <p>4. The structure and sub-sections are done well.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically appropriate.</p> <p>6. The three references mentioned are insufficient. The author should ensure that all the in-text citations are captured in references section. For instance, some citations such as Nur'Aini et al., 2019 in the introduction is missing in the reference. In addition, the author should choose one reference style and use it consistently throughout the study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The quality of English language used is good and communicating to the readers</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Edward Ojuok Okanda
Department, University & Country	University of Kabianga, Kenya