

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_111945
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation, characterisation, and selection of bacterial endophytes from Soybean (Glycine max) nodules and roots in some soils of Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><i>The MS is valuable work in agricultural microbiology. However, the following points should be reconsidered: If the study is explained in a way that satisfies the reader, the experiments are described reproducibly, and the results are interpreted with scientific data, it will be valuable and have the potential to contribute to the respective field.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Under Section 2.4.1 Morphological Characterization, the description of it can be improved to minimise the length of the MS. Care must be taken in mentioning the names of microbes (as per the scientific literature format). Under Section 2.5.2, PCR <i>nifH</i> and <i>nodC</i> genes amplification additional recent references should be cited. Under Section Results and Discussion, the information in the table is also repeated in detailed text, which should be minimised. Yes, the references are enough. Just so they could add a few recent references to the study Grammatical and spelling errors should be corrected as per the format of the journal. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajendrabhai D. Vasait
Department, University & Country	India