

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Microbiology Research Journal International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_MRJI_111945</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Isolation, characterisation, and selection of bacterial endophytes from Soybean (Glycine max) nodules and roots in some soils of Cameroon</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The results of this research contribute to providing information about the potential of root nodule bacteria from soybeans which can be developed into in the future.</p> <p>No, The title needs to be changed so that it accurately describes the content of the research. The title must be written in good English grammar.</p> <p>Yes, The systematic writing and content of the abstract are quite good. Writers must be consistent in using the type and size of letters.</p> <p>Yes, The structure of the manuscript is appropriate but the contents of each part of the manuscript need to be improved.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, But references must be written consistently and refer to internationally accepted rules for writing references to scientific articles</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Writing needs to be improved, especially the use of good and appropriate English</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The presentation of data in the form of tables and figures needs to be improved to make it more interesting and easy to understand</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hartono</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia</b>