

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Scientific Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JSRR_112851 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | IMPORTANCE OF SMART AGRICULTURE AND USE OF A.I. IN SHAPING THE FUTURE OF AGRICULTURE |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Yes 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. No, see comments 5. No, see comments 6. No, see comments</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>No, see comments</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>The topic addressed is extremely relevant scientifically, economically and socially. However, to be published, the manuscript must be completely rewritten.</p> <p>Although the written text is relatively well written, it is very difficult to believe that any author with even a minimum notion of scientific writing would be innocent of presenting an introduction without reference and without objective; a sequence of concepts in place in the methodology, an article without results and without discussion and, finally, present a conclusion of all this.</p> <p>Any undergraduate knows that references should be presented in ascending alphabetical order at the end of the article and in chronological order in the text.</p> <p>Furthermore, text breaks and sentences without complements raise suspicion about this manuscript.</p> <p>For all these reasons, this text has a huge probability of having been constructed by an AI (a very bad one).</p> <p>Ultimately, I don't see a problem with publishing texts produced by AI, however, this must be clear to the reader, it must be mentioned in the article and, above all, a slightly better AI must be used, at least.</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Junir Antônio Lutinski |
| Department, University & Country | Universidade Comunitária da Região de Chapecó, Brasil |