

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_112820
Title of the Manuscript:	Folic acid protection in congenital neural tube defects: A narrative review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes it is as it contains the details of a researcher's study. There is representation of the original work of study and draws the research performed by an individual or a group of people Try "Effects of folic acid in congenital neural tube defects: A narrative review." Chances are that folic acid may not only give "<i>protection</i>" but other effects positively or negatively based on individual response following its administration. On the abstract, the context is ok, the gap to be addressed is mentioned, methodology is explained, results are outlined and conclusion is precise . excellent Subsections and structure are well articulated The manuscript in my view is scientifically correct References are sufficient and very recent 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The English language is suitable thus making scholarly communication effective 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article well written</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Toddy Sinkamba
Department, University & Country	Gideon Robert University, Zambia