

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Microbiology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMB_112123</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Optimizing Beverage Fermentation by harnessing the Synergy of <i>Saccharomycopsis fibuligera</i> and <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>: A mini-review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Minireview Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- While the article provides valuable insights into the cooperative interaction between <i>Saccharomycopsis fibuligera</i> and <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> in alcoholic fermentation, there are a few areas that could be improved or clarified.</li> <li>2- The title is long a suggest : Enhancing Beverage Fermentation through Synergy of <i>Saccharomycopsis fibuligera</i> and <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>: A mini-review</li> <li>3- The abstract could be more concise. Currently, it covers a broad range of topics, making it slightly challenging to grasp the main focus of the article quickly. A clearer and more succinct summary of the key findings and contributions would enhance its readability.</li> <li>4- Some sections could benefit from better organization. For instance, information about <i>Saccharomycopsis fibuligera</i> and <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> is scattered throughout the article. Reorganizing to present details about each yeast strain separately before discussing their co-culture could enhance clarity.</li> <li>5- Yes</li> <li>6- The references section should be formatted according to a specific citation style (e.g., APA, MLA) for consistency and ease of reference for readers.</li> <li>7- Redundancy: There is some redundancy in phrases and ideas, particularly in the conclusion. A careful review to eliminate repetitive statements will enhance the article's overall quality.</li> <li>8- In-text Citations: The citations within the text lack proper formatting, which can make it difficult for readers to locate the original sources. Ensuring consistent and correct citation formats will improve the article's academic credibility.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Some sentences are complex and might be challenging for readers unfamiliar with the subject matter. Simplifying certain technical terms or providing explanations could improve overall comprehension.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohamed Abyad</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Cady Ayyad, Morocco</b>