

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_112665
Title of the Manuscript:	PLASMA EXCHANGES: OBSERVATIONAL STUDY AT THE MOHAMMED V RABAT MILITARY TRAINING HOSPITAL BLOOD TRANSFUSION CENTER FROM JANUARY 2016 TO DECEMBER 2019
Type of the Article	Clinical Practice Article

Review Form 1.7

ART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>the references have not been marked by numbers in the text. They are too few and inadequate (see comments)</p> <p>The article” PLASMA EXCHANGES: OBSERVATIONAL STUDY AT THE MOHAMMED V RABAT MILITARY TRAINING HOSPITAL BLOOD TRANSFUSION CENTER FROM JANUARY 2016 TO DECEMBER 2019” presents the findings of an observational study about the indications of plasma exchange in a single centre during 4 years.</p> <p>General: the manuscript is a simple statistical report of plasma exchange in a single centre with 16 patients included (low sample size)</p> <p>Abstract: the term “dysimmunological” is unusual please replace it with “immunological dysregulation”.</p> <p>Introduction: the first paragraph needs to include a robust reference (a book chapter or highly-cited review article). After the definition of the procedure, the most common indications should be mentioned (again by a reference citation).</p> <p>Results: please avoid using the French word “et” and replace it with “and”.</p> <p>Discussion: is well organized but too short and without citation numbers. Moreover, it could be better to provide a summary of categories 1 to 4 indication of plasma exchange (with reference and number of references)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Needs improvement	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Mentioned in comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sara Assadiasl
Department, University & Country	Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran