

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_112651
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical screening and evaluation of the larvicidal activities of three organic extracts derived from the leaves of <i>Cassia siéberiana</i> to control <i>Anopheles gambiae</i> , the vector of malaria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Not at all</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1.The author did not mention the physicochemical screening methodology in the abstract.</p> <p>2.Was the maceration done only with methanol or with the three solvents?</p> <p>3. The author has not justified the choice of different solvents.</p> <p>4. The author should remove periods at the end of title numbers. Example: 3.1 instead of 3.1.</p> <p>5. The author did not mention control in the description of his methodology.</p> <p>6. The author does not specify the type of factorial design he used.</p> <p>7. Chapter numbers should be in Arabic numerals as in the journal.</p> <p>8. I don't understand how the author studied the interactions when he didn't test the extract mixtures.</p> <p>9. The titles of the figures do not correspond to the style of the journal.</p> <p>10. I don't see the connection between screening and toxicity tests.</p> <p>11. The list of references is not designed in accordance with the style of the journal.</p> <p>11. Some names are capitalized in the reference list. The author must follow the style of the journal.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mikolo Bertin
Department, University & Country	Marien Ngouabi University, Congo