

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_112662
Title of the Manuscript:	Hypercholesterolemia Familial: Early Cardiovascular Complications, Treatment Challenges, and the Fatal Consequences of Delayed Diagnosis- An In-Depth Case Study
Type of the Article	Case study

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1) It is important for the scientific community as it addresses an underdiagnosed disease with preventive measures available to save the patients from associated morbidity and mortality.</p> <p>2) This disease is mostly addressed as "Familial Hyperlipidemia" instead of vice versa, that part could be changed.</p> <p>3) Abstract is comprehensive and summarizing.</p> <p>4) There are some important information missing in the case report:</p> <p>1. The echocardiographic evaluation of the patient is not provided neither after the first time of hospitalization (before CABG), nor at the time of second coronary angiography, therefore it does not allow the reader to have a proper understanding of the progression of the valvular disease.</p> <p>2. It is said that the patient had an occlusion of the right coronary artery, but the brackets indicate Cx, which one is correct?</p> <p>3. The LDL results at baseline and after rosuvastatin and ezetimibe treatment are not provided.</p> <p>5) The manuscript is scietifically correct, but doesn't discuss what could/should have been done to this patient to prevent the mortality and doesn't give any future perspective for the readers, not only for this patient but also the family members. No pedigree analysis was done after the diagnosis of FH, and it is not mentioned or discussed.</p> <p>6) References are uptodate</p> <p>7) Generic names of the medicines should be used instead of manufacturer names (Cardensiel-bisoprolol)</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Language could ve improved, some terminologic errors are present.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Ilke Çelikkale</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lokman Hekim University, Turkey</b>