

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_111692
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of domestic violence on reproductive healthcare delivery among pregnant women in Kwata rural community of Jos South
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. Intimate Partner Violence/Domestic Violence is a very important and often neglected issues of women health.</p> <p>NO. Prevalence of Domestic Violence and the factors associated with it in a _____ community. Think on it and come with a new title.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. Conflict of Interest and Authors contribution are missing.</p> <p>To a large extent, YES. (Results & Conclusion are a bit overarching, that needs to be written according to the descriptive study and not analytical study). YES</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	A temporal association can't be made in a cross sectional study and hence the cause and effects described in this study are more of "factors associated with domestic violence". Without a comparison group, commenting on effects would be unscientific.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ravindra Nath
Department, University & Country	TMMC & RC, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, India