

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_113131
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate Change and Women Health Vulnerabilities: Mitigation and Response
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript addresses a crucial and timely issue, linking climate change and women's health vulnerabilities. It is important for raising awareness and promoting discussions in the scientific community. 2. The title is relevant to the content; however, it could be more specific. Consider adding more details to accurately reflect the focus of the paper. 3. The abstract provides a good overview but could be more detailed. Consider adding a sentence about the specific findings or recommendations of the study. 4. The subsections and overall structure are suitable. However, consider refining the transitions between sections for better flow. 5. The manuscript seems scientifically sound, but there is a need for clarity in some areas. Provide more details and explanations where necessary. 6. The references seem adequate, but there is room for more recent sources. Consider adding recent studies or findings to strengthen the paper. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is generally acceptable but needs some minor revisions for clarity and coherence. Proofread for grammatical errors and ensure a consistent writing style.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Consider adding more real-world examples or case studies to illustrate the points made in the manuscript.</p> <p>Include more explicit recommendations in the conclusion for policymakers, researchers, or practitioners.</p> <p>Ensure that gender-neutral language is used consistently throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>Provide a brief explanation or definition of terms like "gender sensitivity" for readers who may not be familiar with the terminology.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yvan Zolo
Department, University & Country	University of Cape Town, South Africa