

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_107353
Title of the Manuscript:	Breeding for bacterial wilt disease resistance in brinjal
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Abstract:</p> <p>The abstract clearly emphasizes the importance of bacterial wilt in brinjal cultivating and the potential solution of using resistant varieties but lacks information about specific research outcomes or results. It's essential to include the key findings and their implications to enhance the abstract's completeness and impact.</p> <p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • it appears that the article's structure is confusing, with material, methods, results, and discussion sections blended together in the introduction. It is crucial to clearly separate these sections to improve the article's overall organization and readability. • There are several grammatical mistakes in the introduction. It would be beneficial to review and correct these issues to improve the overall quality of the article. • The article lacks a dedicated results section, making it challenging for readers to discern the specific findings of the study. It's essential to clearly present and discuss the research results to provide a comprehensive understanding of the study's outcomes. • To enhance the article's scientific rigor and clarity, please consider adding a distinct results section, where you can present and interpret the research findings systematically. • Without a specified results and discussion section, the article's structure is less coherent. Separating these sections would greatly improve the overall organization and readability of the paper. • The references in the article are not arranged in the required format. It is crucial to ensure that references follow the prescribed citation style consistently throughout the manuscript. I recommend that the authors carefully review and revise the reference section to adhere to the specified formatting guidelines. <p>After addressing the highlighted issues and incorporating the necessary corrections, this article shows great potential for publication. The research addresses an important agricultural challenge, and with improved organization, clear presentation of results, and adherence to reference formatting guidelines, it will contribute significantly to the field. I recommend this article for publication with these revisions.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Inam-UI-Haq
Department, University & Country	Pir Mehr Ali Shah Arid Agriculture University, Pakistan