

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_112765
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate Change Impacts on Agriculture in the Garhwal Himalayas: A comprehensive analysis of shifts, changes and adaptation strategies
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>I suggest to modify the topic as 'Impacts of Climate Change on Agricultural Activities in Garhwal Himalayas: A comprehensive analysis on shifts, changes and adaptation strategies</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>1. Author has to mention the number of accessed respondents accurately. Avoid, using 'Nearly, around'. So, it is suggested to author, need to modify it in the part of abstract.</p> <p>2. Give the meaning for 'Mixed Methodology'.</p> <p>3. As per the given details in methodology, author has choosen 150 respondents from four villages under the selected area. But, the sampling distribution is another important process to under the why the priority is given for the specified number of people. It is not given in the study.</p> <p>4. The study has not incorporated any statistical tools except graphical presentation. Why?</p> <p>5. No statistical information given for figure.2 and 5.</p> <p>6. Given recommendations for the policy implications are not enough. Author has to develop it according to findings of the study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Sadasivam
Department, University & Country	Madurai Kamaraj University, India