



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. the authors do not state the concentration of Ca per product use (only for egg shell). How much Ca is there in calcium chloride and calcium nitrate? Overall the same Ca amount should be applied in all treatments through the use of 0.5% concentration.</p> <p>2. what fertilization was applied in the plants? what was the control treatment? we do not have any data on soil properties, i.e. what was the Ca concentration in the sybstrate used?</p> <p>3. in tatble 2 I see letters indicating statistical analysis but which test was used?</p> <p>4. In table 2 the authors state that the height of the plant was 5.7 cm. This is not real!! How can a tomato plant of 5 cm produce fruits? What are the values in the parentheses? all these should be clearly stated in each table.</p> <p>5. the yield of a tomato plant is too low to be under control conditions only 252 g. This could be just the weight of a single fruit. Please state some details on the tomato cultivar chosen (what is its mean fruit weight?),</p>	<p>1. The calcium content in eggshell is 38-40%. The percentage of Ca in <math>\text{Ca}(\text{No}_3)_2</math> is 18% and N is 15% and Ca in <math>\text{CaCl}_2</math> is 27% and Cl2 is 50% since nitrogen is having growth impact on plant it has showed good results compared to chloride which has no significant role in plant growth. In PRANAM Ca the concentration of Ca is 15% and 10% N.</p> <p>2.The pot was amended with1:3 ratios of FYM and sandy soil</p> <p>3.LSD (ANOVA) with CRD</p> <p>4.The unit of plant height was changed from cm to feet. And the values in the parenthesis are the per cent increase over control</p> <p>5. Cherry tomato variety sun gold was used and the data presented is the avg. weight of fruits harvested during 1<sup>st</sup> picking</p>