

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_112329
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and Practice of Spectacles and Contact Lens wear to Correct Refractive Errors Among Medical Students of National Defence University of Malaysia
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is important as it provides an insight into the awareness amongst medical professionals, which should definitely be better than general public</p> <p>Alternative title- Knowledge and practice of refractive error correction in medical students of a National Defence university</p> <p>Yes ,it is comprehensive, but too long(460 words).Kindly reduce it to less than 300 words(Journal guidelines) Subsections and structure of the manuscript looks appropriate</p> <p>Yes, it looks scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes ,the references are recent and sufficient</p> <p>Additional suggestions – 1.better to remove “LIMITATIONS” as a subheading, can keep it as a paragraph 2. Add the “consent” and “ethical approval” as two lines in the material and methods section</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Looks ok	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Reena Sharma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>UP University of Medical Sciences, India</b>