

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_112332
Title of the Manuscript:	Residual effect of Humic acid, PSB & Phosphorus Nutrition on soil chemical properties after harvest of rice crop under the subtropical condition of Jammu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. It is giving the interaction effect of humic acid, PSB and P nutrition</p> <p>Needs revision as indicated in attached document</p> <p>Introduction- details are given only for humic acid effect and relevant literature. However, the effect of PSB and P nutrition on rice individually or their interaction was not reviewed. Hence this part needs revision</p> <p>Materials and methods needs clarity. It is mentioned kharif seasons 2022. I think something is missing. Similarly when the first crop (rice/black gram) was sown. It was mentioned that, it was conducted for 3 years consecutively. Which crop experiment was conducted for three years?</p> <p>Need clear details about the sequence of experiment and details.</p> <p>NO. Discussion needs improvement with related references.</p> <p>Humic acid extraction method from FYM was given. Methodology is not clear. Discussion needs to be improved as mentioned in file.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	no	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Janaki
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India