

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_112332
Title of the Manuscript:	Residual effect of Humic acid, PSB & Phosphorus Nutrition on soil chemical properties after harvest of rice crop under the subtropical condition of Jammu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Here are some corrections and suggestions for improvement in your article:</p> <p>Abstract:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Soil Texture: Specify the soil texture in the abstract. Instead of "sand clay loam," provide more specific information like the percentage of sand, clay, and silt. Phosphorus and Potassium Levels: Mention the actual values for phosphorus and potassium levels in the soil at the experimental site. Experimental Design: Clarify what "Factorial RBD" stands for, and consider using the full names in the abstract for better clarity. Phosphorous Nutrition Levels: Correct the phrase "100% Recommended N:75% Recommended P - 15:30 kg/ha" to "100% Recommended N:75% Recommended P - 15:30 kg/ha." <p>Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Redundancy: The phrases "urbanization and infrastructure development" and "industrial operations, urbanization, and infrastructure development" are redundant. Choose one and streamline the sentence. Sentence Structure: The sentence "Consequently, preserving organic matter in the soil becomes imperative to enhance soil fertility and optimize crop yield per unit area." can be broken down for better clarity. <p>Methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Abbreviations: Define abbreviations such as RBD, WMRC, SKUAST-J, and FYM the first time they are used. Geographical Coordinates: Provide more context for the geographical coordinates. Mention the location or region represented by these coordinates. <p>Results and Discussion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Table 1: The table labels and contents are mentioned without the actual values. Include the numerical values for pH, EC, OC, available nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium in Table 1. Data Presentation: Break down long sentences and provide clear subsections for each set of results (e.g., "Chemical properties of soil after rice crop harvest," "Available nitrogen," etc.). Consistency: There are duplicated paragraphs in the "Discussion" section. Remove the duplicate content to avoid redundancy. <p>Conclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Repetition: The conclusion repeats information from the abstract. Summarize the key findings without repeating details already mentioned. Specifics: Instead of saying "notable improvements," provide the actual percentage or numerical improvement in each parameter for a more precise conclusion. Clarity: Specify the nature of the "modest influence" on seed and stover yields. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>General Suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Data Precision: Whenever possible, provide specific numerical values rather than using general terms like "modest" or "notable." Clarity and Conciseness: Break down complex sentences into simpler ones for better readability and comprehension. Consistency in Terminology: Use consistent terminology throughout the document (e.g., phosphorus nutrition vs. phosphorous nutrition). Grammar and Syntax: Review the document for grammar and syntax errors. Ensure that 	

Review Form 1.7

	sentences are clear and logically structured. 5. Scientific Terminology: Use standardized scientific terminology to enhance the clarity and professionalism of the article. 6. Visuals: Consider adding figures or charts to illustrate key data points. Remember to carefully proofread the article after incorporating these suggestions to ensure a polished and professional final version.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Johana Delgado
Department, University & Country	Andres Bello Catholic University, Venezuela