

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_112116
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating Mathematics Homework Practices of Higher Secondary Teachers in Bhutan.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article suitable.</p> <p>3. No, an effective presentation of abstract from summarizing the main points, and emphasizing the points of study of the work that really needs to be presented. In this regard, the abstract will consist of introduction, presentation of research objectives, variables, research methods, and summary research results, research recommendations of utilization to be able to see the overall picture in education in a comprehensive and complete manner.</p> <p>4. No, a conclusion is a summary of all the findings from the summary of issues and themes relevant to the study objectives. The conclusion is the logical endpoint of a summary based on brevity, clarity, and comprehensiveness, and the recommendation of presenting a way of applying research results that demonstrates the benefits and values under the research findings. (Concise, clear and comprehensive).</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Yes, the references sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pornchai Jedaman
Department, University & Country	Rajabath Maha Sarakham University, Thailand