

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_112595
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPLEMENTATION OF A SECURED SCALABLE FILE SERVER SYSTEM
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Lack of technical details</p> <p>Abstract lack technical details. There is no description of scheduler used. User level management and server level management is not taken into consideration. Synchronization issue is neither outlined not addressed. The sections are not numbered which diminishes the readability. Structure of the paper need revamp. Introduce few lines saying how the section are related</p> <p>Manuscript is poorly written. Objective is not technical enough</p> <p>References are extremely insufficient. A journal must have minimum 30 references</p> <p>There are 8 figures in the manuscript, fig 1, fig 3, fig 5, fig 6 doesn't make sense. What is tatu file server system used in fig 3? There is no mention of "tatu" in the manuscript.</p> <p>Author is advised to use the paper for reference" Ren Y, Min C, Kannan S. {CrossFS}: A Cross-layered {Direct-Access} File System. In14th USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (OSDI 20) 2020 (pp. 137-154)"</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The flow and structure of the paper is poor.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript doesn't have a clear objective. The references used are insufficient. It has some figures which seems out of place and not referenced in the paper. Major revision</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tamanna Jena</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Fairleigh Dickinson University, Canada</b>