

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_112417
Title of the Manuscript:	ALLELOPATHIC POTENTIAL OF WILD SUNFLOWER (<i>Tithonia diversifolia</i> (Hemsl.) A.Gray) AND SORGHUM (<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> (L.) Moench) AQUEOUS EXTRACTS TO CONTROL WEEDS IN COWPEA (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (L.) Walp) CROPPING SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, Among biological approach to control weeds, allelopathy is an important aspect to be looked into. Through this approach, by using allelopathic plants dependence on the synthetic herbicide can be minimized for controlling weeds and will help to achieve sustained productivity on economical viable and environmentally sound basis especially in the case of pulse crop like Cowpea.</p> <p>2. No ALLELOPATHIC POTENTIAL OF AQUEOUS EXTRACTS OF WILD SUNFLOWER (<i>Tithonia diversifolia</i> (Hemsl.) A. Gray) AND SORGHUM (<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> (L.) Moench) FOR CONTROLLING WEEDS AND ENHANCING YIELD OF COWPEA (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (L.) Walp)</p> <p>3. Need revision as suggested</p> <p>4. Yes, However the author should go through the reviewed paper critically and correct it by incorporating all suggestions and incorporations.</p> <p>5. Yes, However, can be further improved by avoiding repetition, and merging two related parameters into one table, thus reducing the total number of tables. Also need to use scientific/statistical language for results.</p> <p>6. Yes Minor Corrections have been done. Need to incorporate.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, However, while writing abstract, results and conclusion scientific language should be used</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NEED CORRECTIONS/INCORPORATION AND CRITICAL CHECKS AS MENTIONED IN DIFFERENT SECTIONS OF THE MENUSCRIPT. CAN FURTHER IMPROVE THE RESULTS BY AVOIDING REPETITION 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pankaj Chopra
Department, University & Country	CSK HPKV, India