

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_112795
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF PROJECT BASED LEARNING MODEL IN OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING (OOP) LEARNING IN POLYTECHNIC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1). This research is to investigate the design, validity, practicality, and effectiveness of the Project Based Learning Model in the context of Object Oriented Programming Learning at Polytechnic</p> <p>2). Satisfactory</p> <p>3). Satisfactory</p> <p>4) Satisfactory</p> <p>5). Satisfactory</p> <p>6). Old references are used, better to refer last 5 years only</p> <p>It is found that author has not cited all the references except a few</p> <p>Further suggesting the author to cite every references used and show proof of correction, then only paper can be accepted and published.</p> <p>Restructure the introduction into a). Background b). Motivation c) Research gaps and d) Contribution</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Author has to work on grammar part, professional editing is suggested. What is the author trying to say in the below line?</p> <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Research Results The results and studies in this study are based on previous studies that are relevant and related to this research</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1) In Research Results, experts & practitioners according to their expertise: who are these experts & practitioners, elaborate?</p> <p>2) How are the values obtained in every table results? Elaborate on this</p> <p>3) After every table Source is mentioned with Page number and year? What is source here?</p> <p>4) Detailed explanation is required for Fig. 1</p> <p>5) Many places the word "Product" is used. Elaborate what is product in the research context</p> <p>6) Point wise conclusion is given, suggested to rewrite it as paragraph</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raghavendra M Devadas
Department, University & Country	GITAM School of Technology, India