

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_112795
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF PROJECT BASED LEARNING MODEL IN OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING (OOP) LEARNING IN POLYTECHNIC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Surely yes, it is indeed important for the scientific community. This paper describes the developmental efforts in the hither-to-fore unexplored domain of the 'DEVELOPMENT OF A PROJECT-BASED LEARNING MODEL USING OBJECT-ORIENTED PROGRAMMING (OOP) LEARNING FOR A POLYTECHNIC'. Meaningful conclusions have been derived from the research based on SPSS software.</p> <p>The suggested topic is as follows:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content;"> <p>DEVELOPMENT OF A PROJECT-BASED LEARNING MODEL USING OBJECT-ORIENTED PROGRAMMING (OOP) LEARNING FOR A POLYTECHNIC</p> </div> <p>The abstract is comprehensive as it concludes meaningfully that the Project Based Learning Model in Object Oriented Programming (OOP) Learning fulfills the requirements of being legitimate, practical, and efficacious for usage at the Polytechnic.</p> <p>All sections and sub-sections are appropriate and crisp in presentation.</p> <p>The manuscript is succinctly represented and is scientifically correct by dint of the fact, that it flows with the approach synthesis of the flow chart presented and it is buttressed with a pictorial representation of the statistical conclusions making it easy to comprehend it in the conclusion.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is suitable and grammatically correct with proper syntax and punctuation marks.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>All the 21 papers in the References are seemingly exhaustive and latest in the "Lexicographic" format i.e. author names in (A_Z). Nice.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhawdeep Singh Munjal
Department, University & Country	Nirma Institute of Technology, India