

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_112446
Title of the Manuscript:	THE CHANGE OF COFFEE SHOP FUNCTIONS AS FACILITIES AND PATTERN OF INTERACTION AND SOCIAL INTERVENTION IN JENEPONTO REGENCY, SOUTH SULAWESI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is based on primary data source and qualitative research techniques. As this research is giving a practical insight into the relationship between a Coffee shop and social and interpersonal relationships, it is definitely important for scientific community. The title "The Change of Coffee Shop Functions as Facilities and Pattern of Interaction and Social Intervention in Jeneponto Regency, South Sulawesi" is descriptive and provides a clear indication of the focus of your study. However, you might consider a few adjustments for clarity and conciseness. Here are a couple of suggestions – “Transformations in Coffee Shop Functions: A Study of Facilities, Interaction Patterns, and Social Interventions in Jeneponto Regency, South Sulawesi.” “Exploring Changes in Coffee Shop Functions: Facilities, Interaction Patterns, and Social Interventions in Jeneponto Regency, South Sulawesi.” Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive and gives a clear picture of the study. There are few words or phrases that needs to be corrected or explained properly as they can create a confusion in the reader's understanding. They are as follows – <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Second para – there is a word case study research. I don't think it's a valid term. Last line - The writer chose this location. We don't write in this manner when we are writing the paper on our own. Third para – data analysis was carried out by data reduction. This term is not giving a positive impact or clear picture of how the analysis has been done. Last para – To capital. T is capital, it should be small. Comma between keywords. Subsection and structure is appropriate, though I find some corrections here too. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Introduction part third para- visitors are coming from females and men, sounds abrupt. The problem statements can be reformed. Page 5 second last line after Political issues some space is left. Similarly on Page 6 first para after mezzo level) .) some space is left. Page 7 first para – family therapy. Therapy) word is repeated. Page 11 first para second last line – characteristics word is repeated. Page 11 second para line – 'we are also busy there' keeps on repeating. Page 15 – 4th para therefore coffee shops must be maintained and maintained. Page 15 – 6th para - Government as policymakers and policymakers. Page 16 – last para – Naughty civil servants. Naughty is not the right word for this position. Page 17 – Under community interventions – second para second line – The results of observation and observations. Reform the sentence. Yes, as far as it is based on primary data it is correct. References need to be written again and in a proper format. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, language is clear and easy to understand.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sameera Mishra
Department, University & Country	India